

2ND REPLY
FINAL COPY SENT
REGISTERED MAIL

Robert Alan White
P.O. Box 931, 834-3rd Ave.
McBride B.C. V0J 2E0 Canada

“REGISTERED MAIL”

February 18th, 2013

CPL. BARRY KENNEDY, DETACHMENT COMMANDER
MCBRIDE RCMP DETACHMENT
1048 NORTH EAST FRONTAGE ROAD
PO BOX 497
MCBRIDE, BRITISH COLUMBIA
VOJ 2E0 CANADA

Dear Cpl. Kennedy,

RE: RCMP FILE # 2012-536

In response to your letter, dated February 11th, 2013, regarding the Public Complaint against Cst. Peter Berndsen that I, ROBERT ALAN WHITE (Respondent) lodged on November 23rd, 2012, and in regards to the Registered letter I sent you dated February 6th, 2013, certain issues of importance noted in your letter consist of the following:

- 1) Procedure-of-law and Due Process is not followed, according to the Supreme Court Act (1985), Rules of the Supreme Court of Canada SOR/2002-156, Supreme Court Civil Rules-Court Rules Act B.C. Reg 168/2009 or the Evidence Act (1996).
- 2) Your letter suggests that the Respondent has declined an interview with Cpl. Kennedy. Where in the February 6th, 2013 Registered letter from the Respondent does it mention a blatant decline for an interview with Cpl. Kennedy?
- 3) By not replying to the Respondent's questions in Articles: 4, 10, 11 and 12 in the letter dated February 6th, 2013, Cpl. Kennedy, by his silence, has refused to cooperate with this investigation, follow procedure-of-law, due-process or due diligence.
- 4) It is apparently obvious that Cpl. Kennedy wants to perpetuate the cover-up of Cst. Berndsen's wrongdoing's, by not responding, replying or answering the Respondent's questions, nor rebutting or refuting the statements made in the Respondent's letter. Thus, the statements made in the Respondent's February 6th, 2013 letter shall stand as the truth.
- 5) The investigative procedure does not appear to be impartial, independent, unbiased nor follow due process or procedure-of-evidence, by virtue of the fact, Cpl. Kennedy is Cst. Berndsen's commanding officer involved as an active participant during the incarceration and processing of charges against the Respondent and now tasked to investigate the complaint lodged against Cst. Berndsen.
- 6) Indeed, it appears from your letter and response to this volatile issue, that your intent to collect evidence and information in relation to the investigation against Cst. Berndsen, shall only be based on information contained in the Respondent's Complaint lodged on November 23rd, 2012.

- 7) What other information and evidence will you use to investigate the Complaint lodged against Cst. Berdsen?
- 8) Furthermore, if there is any other information as to the investigation, why have I not been kept informed of this information at regular intervals?
- 9) By not providing such documents in a timely manner, you may be violating the constitutional, legal human rights of the Respondent.

AND WHEREAS, you do not agree with the material facts presented hereto and herein or fail to reply accordingly, you are, nevertheless, petitioned to respond by Sworn Affidavit and sent to the Respondents address at the top of this letter by Registered Mail within 10 days of the date of this letter or by the 28th day of February, 2013.

Signed by: 
Robert Alan White

Witness: 
Michelle I. MacDonald