

David,

Yes, methinks the corrupt bastards need personal lawyers ASAP...as well!!
It's time for a class-action lawsuit against all corrupt RCMP members, prosecutors, judges and all others guilty by association.
I'm coordinating a huge network of individuals across Canada, who all have had similar misdeeds of justice happen to them.
The chickens are all coming home to roost....finally!!!
This class action will go down in not only Canadian history but Internationally as well.
It's time to take back our civil liberties and see Justice prevail.
The time is nigh.

Regards,
Robert Erickson
<http://rubiconcrossroad.wordpress.com/>

---- On Mon, 14 Jul 2014 10:51:30 -0700 David Amos<motomaniac333@gmail.com> wrote ----

For the PUBLIC Record Woroshelo played dumb just a RCMP dudes ALWAYS do but Grierson formerly of Major Crimes in Prince George tried to talk tough to a very pissed off Maritimer so I got to the point just as he demanded then hung up on the nasty FED bastard. .

Too too funny indeed. Methinks they need personal lawyers ASAP.

Please note that although I have provided a few links to my work this email cantains mostly other people's words NOT MINE.

<http://www.radicalpress.com/?p=4081>

Mr. Frank Frost will be appearing to testify on the urgency to maintain an alternative news media here in British Columbia in order to ensure that criminal activities on the part of the RCMP, the Judiciary and the Attorney General's office (Crown) are exposed to the general public. Mr. Frost is a strong, knowledgeable advocate and expert witness in the areas of children and family advocacy and pedophilia within B.C.'s judiciary.

Mr. Lonnie Landrud will also be appearing to testify on the importance of maintaining an alternative new media. Mr. Landrud is an expert, knowledgeable witness in the area of judicial misfeasance as it pertains to his own case. Mr. Landrud was witness to a murder of a young woman in Quesnel by RCMP officers and subsequent to reporting this heinous crime to the RCMP has been the subject of numerous attempts on his life by the RCMP. In one instance Mr. Landrud was forced to shoot, in self-defence, an RCMP officer who was attempting to murder him in his home. Since the advent of these events Mr. Landrud has been unable to have his case investigated at any level of government after years of sincere effort and the mainstream news media

has refused to investigate or cover his plight. Mr. Landrud will be speaking to the court on the pressing need for an alternative news media that will and does cover his untold story.”

<https://www.stormfront.org/forum/t1022290/>

<http://christopherdiarmani.com/10861/human-rights/freedom-of-speech/arthur-tophams-continuing-legal-battle-freedom-speech/>

<http://thedavidamosrant.blogspot.ca/2014/02/re-very-ethical-lonnie-landrud-and-what.html>

<http://thedavidamosrant.blogspot.ca/2014/04/good-evening-frank-frost-and-happy.html>

From: Todd LEARNING <todd.learning@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: Thu, 13 Mar 2014 00:02:39 -0400
Subject: Re: Good Evening Justin Trottier Say Hoka Hey to Stevey Boy Harper, Mulcair, Justin Trudeau and Mean Mikey Coren for me will ya?
To: David Amos <motomaniac333@gmail.com>

I will be away AOL from March 2nd until March 14th. If your matter is urgent please contact Sgt. WOROSHELO or Cpl. KRYZANOWSKI.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: David Amos <motomaniac333@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, 13 Mar 2014 01:11:52 -0300
Subject: Good Evening Justin Trottier Say Hoka Hey to Stevey Boy Harper, Mulcair, Justin Trudeau and Mean Mikey Coren for me will ya?
To: "Pete.Berndsen" <Pete.Berndsen@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>, "craig.callens" <craig.callens@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>, "foghorn.leghorn_175" <foghorn.leghorn_175@zoho.com>
Cc: David Amos <david.raymond.amos@gmail.com>

<http://thedavidamosrant.blogspot.ca/2014/06/the-pdf-files-hereto-attached-forever.html>

From: Darren WOROSHELO <Darren.Woroshelo@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: Fri, 27 Jun 2014 23:15:06 -0400
Subject: Re: The pdf files hereto attached forever prove that the RCMP, the US Treasury Dept, the latest NB AG Teddy Fleming and Jacqueline Maarse know that Grant Thornton and KPMG were the auditors of the Brookline Savings Bank were I reported the fraud in 2003
To: David Amos <motomaniac333@gmail.com>

I am currently absent on duty through June 27. I will have my cell phone and be checking e-mails daily. Please contact Acting Sergeant Jay Grierson in McBride should you need immedaite assistance.

Cheers!

Darren Woroshelo, Sergeant
Detachment Commander
Robson Valley Regional RCMP

Valemount & McBride Detachments
1435 5th Avenue
PO Box 159
Valemount, British Columbia
V0E 2Z0
Phone: 250-566-4466
FAX: 250-566-9964
Cell: 250-566-1136
E-Mail: darren.woroshelo@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

Cheers me arse

Anyway apparently the documents and CD of mine that the malevolent CROWN prosecutor Geoff MacDonald filed on Feb 3rd have disappeared from the Regisry's records and even the transcript of all that was said on that day has been edited as well. SURPRISE SURPRISE N'esy Pas Stevey Boy Blaney.

However the CROWN and its RCMP MINIONS have clearly forgotten that there were many witnesses in court that day including Arty Baby Topham. Although, at least Arty Baby Topham did me the minor service of mentioning my old spit and chew with YOU EH Landslide Annie????

<http://www.radicalpress.com/?p=4207>

"On Monday, February 3rd Frank Frost appeared in the Prince George Court House regarding an application which he had filed earlier demanding that his bail conditions be fully rescinded. When he arrived at the courthouse he had some additional evidence with him in his briefcase (which he affectionately refers to as his "Pandora's Box") that could prove to be a major blow to the guilty parties involved in his own arrest and the arrest of his partner Carrie Rupf. As well he was able to also present to the court another major case of federal government corruption with international repercussions that's been held back for close to fifteen years now and is one that former federal Attorney General Ann McClellan had recommended police investigate years ago.

Appearing before Judge Gray and flanked by Crown Prosecutor Geoff McDonald, Frank Frost proceeded to present his arguments and his evidence to the court, all of which was accepted. Crown Counsel McDonald attempted to persuade the judge that Mr. Frost ought to have a "mental evaluation" rather than be taken seriously by the court but the judge was not prepared to grant any order of that nature and allowed Frost to carry on with his arguments. Crown Counsel had good reason to try that last ditch attempt to stop Frank Frost which will become all too apparent to readers."

<http://www.radicalpress.com/?p=1362>

RCMP CORRUPTION: The Lonnie Landrud Story

by Arthur Topham
Editor/Publisher
RadicalPress.com

February 28, 2012

Corruption, particularly within police forces, be they municipal police or Canada's Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP), is not a new phenomenon. Neither for that matter is corruption within Canada's judiciary system be it at the provincial or federal levels. Most people realize this who have ever had dealings with either of these two branches of government.

Coupled with corruption of course is the vital need for cover-up, be it by those working within the policing systems and the courts or, failing that, analogous media channels normally (or once normally) understood to be sources of unbiased news and information. There was a time when the mainstream media's (msm) job was, traditionally, to investigate criminal activities of all types and expose them for the greater good of society. That was one of the fundamental reasons why democracies supposedly valued a free and independent press and media.

But, as we are now realizing, especially here in British Columbia over the past number of years, the RCMP have done a bang up job of heaping ignominy and shame upon their once highly regarded reputation within policing agencies around the world. It's not my intent though in this article to go into the numerous cases which illustrate this fact but rather to focus on one particular, highly contentious example that up to this point has failed to receive the press and media attention that I believe it is due. I am referring to the startling and for many normal people, the almost unbelievable experience of Mr. Lonnie Lundrud which is now being presented here for readers viewing and consideration.

What was most amazing for me when I first viewed this short 7-Part YouTube series was the fact that the story had occurred right in my own backyard (Quesnel, B.C.) and still I hadn't become aware of it until close to 5 years later after the video interview with Mr. Landrud was first put up on YouTube and this was 9 years after the alleged incident took place. On top of that I had been in the publishing business myself since 1998 and still the event somehow eluded me. Strange indeed.

Stranger still, when I began to check into some of the bizarre occurrences, was the fact that I had once had dealings with one of the murdered RCMP officers, Bev Hosker. While it was unrelated to the incident that Lonnie Landrud describes in his videos it nonetheless linked the two events, again, in unusual ways. Those events are recorded within the archives of the Quesnel Cariboo Observer's letters

section and date back to 1997.

The obvious question arose in my mind when I viewed the videos: Why was it that so few people had actually seen them over the past five years? Given their content plus the fact that the RCMP have been in the news for years now thanks to their illegal and increasingly aberrant behaviour toward the public, I found it mind-boggling that on average less than two thousand views of the full seven parts had taken place over this period of time.

Having investigated similar stories of RCMP corruption in the past as well as now covering the case of Jim Townsend which is also linked directly to malfeasance on the part of the RCMP, I felt that this story needed some added impetus to bring it further out of the shadows and into the clear light of public awareness. It's definitely not something that makes the mounties look good in any way and it's for that reason that they, the judicial system and the controlled media within B.C. and Canada have done their damndest to keep this story from gaining any serious publicity. Should justice ever prevail in this instance it will not only absolve Lonnie Landrud of all the bad publicity and lies that have befallen him but it may also unearth the hidden truth about many of the young women who have disappeared along the "Highway of Tears" in this area of the province over the past decade and longer. It is my contention, based upon these videos and other evidence, that the RCMP and the courts and the Crown itself are directly complicit in all of this horrible breach of justice.

A note to readers regarding the images in this article. They are just screen shots of the YouTubes. To watch the actual videos please click on the highlighted url below each of the images. As well, if you feel this story should be available to more people please send the url to it to your friends and associates and media that you deem willing to cover it.

Any inquiries or feedback is always welcome. Write me at radical@radicalpress.com

<http://www.unsolvedcanada.ca/index.php?topic=4636.0>

Teen Charged in Burns Lake Area Murder
By 250 News Wednesday, May 23, 2012 02:00 PM

Burns Lake, B.C. - An arrest has been made in the murder of 17 year old Chassidy Charlie. She had been found murdered in her home on Uncha Mountain Road on the southside of Francois Lake on January 26th of 2011.

RCMP have now arrested a 17 year old Burns Lake area male. He cannot be identified under the provisions of the Youth Criminal Justice Act,

but police say he was known to Chassidy. He is facing a charge of second degree murder.

“The North District Major Crime Unit is expressing its gratitude to the citizens of Burns Lake and the Cheslatta Carrier Nation for their assistance and patience in this investigation. Corporal John Grierson of the North District Major Crime Unit says the RCMP recognize the tragedy has impacted the entire community ””“we hope that this arrest can bring some peace to the families and friends who knew Chassidy Charlie.””

<http://www.thevalleysentinel.com/new-rcmp-officer-arrives-at-mcbride-detachment/>

New RCMP officer arrives at McBride detachment

Posted by: mike in Featured, McBride, Valemount May 23, 2014 0 712 Views

Corporal John Grierson at his office in McBride.

Corporal John “Jay” Grierson has arrived and is hard at work at the McBride detachment.

Grierson has been with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) for 11 years and has served in 100 Mile House detachment for six years and is making the transition to McBride from his last posting with the Major Crimes Division of the North District.

Grierson brings with him his wife Leah and two children a six-year-old boy, and an eight-year-old girl. His duration here is set for three years but can be extended at the end of the three years.

He has officially been working at the detachment for a couple of weeks and has made appearances at several local events introducing himself to many in an unofficial manner.

The detachments of Valemount and McBride are now a blended unit under the Robson Valley Department with the control under Sgt. Darren Woroshelo.

Grierson enjoys the outdoors and likes to hunt and fish in his spare time. He and his family are looking forward to his time here and feels the transition from the busy Major Crimes Division will take some time to adjust to.

by ALLAN FREDERICK
Reporter

<http://www.radicalpress.com/?p=4207>

Further Chronicle of Criminal Malfeasance by RCMP, Courts & BC Government Ministries: The Frank Frost Story by Arthur Topham, Radical Press Reporter

February 5, 2014 by admin 8 Comments

When it comes to confronting the ugly reality of police, judicial, government ministry corruption, child molestation and pedophilia plus a mainstream media that finds every conceivable excuse for not going after the hard hitting news, Frank Frost is the man to take these controversial issues on.

A former highly trained special forces mercenary who has worked for numerous governments around the world in every major hot spot including Cambodia, Afghanistan, Iraq, Iran and Russia, Frank Frost is not a man to be intimidated or stopped by anyone, least of all bureaucrats, politicians, lawyers and RCMP officers. Fear is not a part of his nature and forthrightness, coupled with an adamant will to persevere, might easily describe his overall character when it comes to tackling the types of criminal activity that we're seeing here in British Columbia and across the country. Case after case of police brutality, murder, pedophilia and cover-ups by "straw dog" organizations like the Police Complaints Commission and the Independent Investigations Office of BC are surfacing almost daily and the controlled "mainstream" media is working overtime in a concerted and collusive effort to hide the stories in little one or two inch columns in the back pages of their newspapers or else not covering the stories at all and hiding the identities of the criminals whenever they can get away with it.

Investigative journalism by the mainstream media (msm) is a joke; a thing of the past. Gone are the days when Canada's news agencies would tackle a controversial story and reveal the truth behind it to Canadians regardless of who the culprits were. Now it's all about subterfuge, smoke and mirrors, double talk, deceit and deception and out and out lying designed to protect the guilty parties if, in any way, they might happen to be connected to the governing power elite.

For readers who have been following the recent story of events surrounding Frank Frost's efforts to have the criminal elements within the RCMP, the Ministry of Children and Family Development and the judicial system here in BC brought to account it won't come as any great surprise that Mr. Frost's perseverance in these matters has brought forth more reactions from the police and courts and new revelations concerning some of the people involved in this massive cover-up of corruption now taking place in central B.C.

Thanks in great measure to the RCMP and Crown Counsel colluding to threaten, intimidate and pressure his former partner Carrie Rupf into testifying against him, Frank Frost was charged by the RCMP and arrested on false allegations and spent four months in the Prince George Regional Correction Centre where, over the course of time spent there, he was not given one opportunity to exercise his right to even a single phone call. Attempts on his life (futile as they were) were made but all to no avail. While incarcerated Frank Frost spent his

time working with his fellow inmates and helping them with their legal issues and in a number of cases actually helping them to gain release from prison.

Having eventually gained his freedom Mr. Frost had a set of heavy conditions placed on him that basically confined him to living in McBride, B.C. and not having any contact with his wife and family.

On Monday, February 3rd Frank Frost appeared in the Prince George Court House regarding an application which he had filed earlier demanding that his bail conditions be fully rescinded. When he arrived at the courthouse he had some additional evidence with him in his briefcase (which he affectionately refers to as his “Pandora’s Box”) that could prove to be a major blow to the guilty parties involved in his own arrest and the arrest of his partner Carrie Rupf. As well he was able to also present to the court another major case of federal government corruption with international repercussions that’s been held back for close to fifteen years now and is one that former federal Attorney General Ann McClellan had recommended police investigate years ago.

Appearing before Judge Gray and flanked by Crown Prosecutor Geoff McDonald, Frank Frost proceeded to present his arguments and his evidence to the court, all of which was accepted. Crown Counsel McDonald attempted to persuade the judge that Mr. Frost ought to have a “mental evaluation” rather than be taken seriously by the court but the judge was not prepared to grant any order of that nature and allowed Frost to carry on with his arguments. Crown Counsel had good reason to try that last ditch attempt to stop Frank Frost which will become all too apparent to readers.

What had emerged from Frank’s “Pandora’s Box” was a video and a copy of a hand-written letter produced by Frost’s former partner Carrie Rupt in which she first recants all of the allegations made against Frank Frost and then proceeds to name every person who was involved in the murder of her child years back in Victoria, B.C. and all the present players who brutally raped her while in prison and who also conspired to force her to testify against Frank Frost in order to have him arrested and incarcerated. The list is damning and includes not only MCFD staff but RCMP officers and Crown Counsel McDonald himself. That video and accompanying letter are presented here for readers to view. The video is less than 6 minutes in length but it includes enough explosive information to blow up in the face of the courts and the ministry of children and family development and the RCMP; shattering the illusion that any form of justice whatsoever has been seen throughout the Frost and Rupt case.

[Click here to view: Carrie Rupf Video](#)

or click on url below

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=Z22-ttm1mMM

Now if all of this shameless, criminal behaviour weren't enough to convince readers of the magnitude of the cover-up, Mr. Frost has even more allegations regarding one of the key RCMP officers who, while stationed at the McBride detachment, was instrumental in not only framing Frank Frost and forcing Carrie Rupf to lay false charges against Frost but was also a key player in yet another miscarriage of justice, the framing of local McBride resident Robert White-Erickson whose story was carried on RadicalPress.com back on September 9th, 2013 under the title of RCMP Corruption, Judicial Chicanery & Small Town Nepotism: The Bizarre Case of Robert White-Erickson. As I write these words Robert White-Erickson sits rotting in the Prince George Regional Correctional Centre and has been there since November of 2013 having been arrested a second time for the supposed crime of breaching his bail conditions; conditions that were set prior to his court case in which he had been exonerated on all the previous charges!

According to recent revelations which Frank Frost has gotten wind of Cpl. Barry Kennedy has recently been arrested on charges of child molestation and is now awaiting trial. Allegations are that this is the same Cpl. Kennedy who the RCMP has been attempting to use as one of their poster-boy PR examples of the fatherly figure "Peace officer" who goes into the local school to read stories to young children in order to build trust between the police and the upcoming generations of young Canadians! The irony and infamy of it all hopefully is not lost on those reading this article.

Conclusion

The great revealing is only just beginning in terms of exposing the numerous criminal acts by those highlighted in this article. There's more. More stories. More crime. More injustice that literally boggles the mind. Were the mainstream media to get off their colluding, conniving butts and join those of us in the alternative news media it wouldn't take that long to wake up the Canadian public to the magnitude of the crimes that are going on in this country but wishing and waiting for that day to come appears to be an exercise in futility and but a confirmation of the old saying, "If wishes were horses beggars would ride."

The quest will continue though regardless of whether or not the RCMP and the Crown continue in their relentless pursuit of trying to put me in jail and shut down RadicalPress.com. With each and every day the victims of these state sponsored crimes are beginning to awaken to their collective plight and are starting to organize and band together in an effort to seek new ways to find resolutions to these massive cover-ups of criminality by the RCMP, the Province and the mainstream media.

We WILL persevere until justice is done. Dedicated people like Frank

Frost and Lonnie Landrud and countless others will see to it that it happens.

—

FURTHER RELATED INFORMATION AND CONTACTS:

Frank Frost can be reached at the following phone number: 250 569 0338

Also see: <http://theministryofchildrencorruption.wordpress.com>

Quesnel, RCMP, Corruption: The Lonnie Landrud Story

For further information on Robert Erickson's case please visit his blog at <http://rubiconcrossroad.wordpress.com/>

The author can be reached at radical@radicalpress.com

<http://www.radicalpress.com/?p=3769>

Frank Frost: Chilling Accounts of Pedophilia, Murder and Corruption in B.C.'s Judiciary, Ministry of Children & Family Development & the RCMP
December 9, 2013 by admin

“I wish sometimes that I had another medium than words, those pale and empty sounds and symbols.
I would like to tell a tale in acid, in poison, in vitriol, in fire and brimstone,
a tale that would sear and singe and scorch and curl up the pages as you read them.”

~ Douglas Reed, Disgrace Abounding, 1939

“Now the wintertime is coming,
The windows are filled with frost.
I went to tell everybody,
But I could not get across.”

Bob Dylan, It Takes a Lot to Laugh, It Takes a Train to Cry, 1965

The incidents of rampant, overt corruption and cover-up of abominable criminal activities within the upper and lower levels of B.C.'s provincial government ministries, the federal and provincial judiciaries and the RCMP continue to expand with increased alacrity as new revelations by whistle blowing citizens, sickened and disgusted with what's going on behind the smokescreen of media, court and police complicity in heinous acts of sexual abuse and pedophilia, step forth into the light of truth with their hitherto suppressed stories.

For the vast majority of victims who find themselves caught up in this

vortex of venal depravity and perversion, without the financial means to hire high priced attorneys, there's little recourse for them but to vainly cry out for help and recognition to avenues of public recourse such as the RCMP Complaints Commission, the Human Rights organizations, the BC Civil Liberties Association, the Ombudsman and other miscellaneous agencies and government ministries that ostensibly exist to protect the victims of crimes here in this province, only to end up with the realization that all of them, ultimately, are but false and disappointing ruses whose primary purpose is to act as firewalls of protection for the criminals themselves.

When we tally them all up and then toss in the corporate, mainstream Zionist-controlled media whose primary purpose is to promote and protect these criminal elements within our judicial and social infrastructure we end up slamming our heads into what's essentially a granite wall of collusion and criminality that not only boggles the mind but strikes fear into the hearts of those who once believed that their government and their courts and their police system were there to protect the honest and innocent and uphold the ideals of justice and fair play.

The sad and growing reality for all of the thousands upon thousands of victims of these forms of abuse is that the psychopaths have taken over the levers of government and foreign lobby groups representing the most voracious, avaricious, vile and decadent nation on the face of the planet have filled our national and provincial judiciary with cold-blooded, cruel replicas of themselves whose primary purpose is to serve and protect the sickos that are raping, abusing and murdering our youth and instilling fear and psychoses into their hearts and minds so that their lives are forever lived in terror and anxiety thus ensuring that they live dysfunctional lifestyles that again only benefit the courts and the corporate prison system (run by their demented brethren from the U.S.A.) which profit even further from the ongoing abuse of our present and future generations.

Were it not for the courage and tenacity and will of individuals like Frank Frost, Lonny Landrud and Byron Prior and Frank Martin & Helen Michel and Jack Cram and Jimi Townsend and Robert White-Erickson and Werner Bock and numerous others who've thrown off their shackles of fear and servitude and challenged this serpentine system of "law and order" and openly exposed the underbelly of these slithering slime balls the rest of the world would still be unaware of just how evil and psychotic the system really is once the veneer of media rhetoric is scraped off and the ghastly truth is revealed.

Here at The Radical Press I've been covering these and other tales of woe and misery and government misfeasance for well on to fifteen years and in doing so I've also had to pay the price that comes with challenging the authority of those who, in their deluded hubris and megalomania, still believe they have some god-given right to control and exploit and abuse our individual liberties and freedoms as human

beings. For the whole of this duration I've been constantly in legal battles with the provincial government and with the Zionist Jewish lobby groups who wield such inordinate and perverse influence over all levels of government in this once democratic and free nation we call Canada.

I've been harassed, intimidated, charged and taken to the BC Supreme Court by the highest levels of this province's government for exposing pedophile activities within the same Ministry of children and Families (as it was then called back in 2001) during the reign of the former NDP government then under the leadership of Premier Ujjal Dosangh. Now, fifteen years later, nothing has changed and the criminal activities of these same sick, satanic perverts continues, aided and abetted by their No. 1 mind-control weapon, the Zionist Jew criminal media that dominates 95% or more of Canada's information technology.

It was only recently while covering the legal case of criminal injustice involving Robert White-Erickson of McBride, B.C. that I became aware of Mr. Frank Frost. What made it even more uncanny was to find out from talking with him that, although he also resides in McBride, he once lived and worked in my own home town of Quesnel where for many years he worked as an advocate for the very same Ministry of Children and Family Development that he is now openly criticizing and exposing.

Like all stories of sexual perversion and abuse involving pedophilia, rape and murder Frank Frost's story is not pleasing to either the ear or to one's sense of moral serenity. What will very quickly become apparent for viewers of this two-part interview (filmed, incidentally by Robert White-Erickson who, as I write, is once again sitting in jail in the Prince George Regional Correctional Centre on additional trumped up charges laid by the same crooks that Frank Frost exposes) is that Mr. Frost is a man to be reckoned with. He's someone who displays the traits of a determined and fearless fighter and to therefore suggest that his video presentation is "frank" would be an understatement of magnanimous proportions. Frank Frost holds no punches and when it comes to naming names he gives viewers the whole nine yards, sparing his adversaries any of the niceties of language and protocol that many people today will likely find a bit shocking.

Like all the others Frank Frost has gone to the limit to try and exercise his Charter rights and to obtain justice via the standard procedures set up for such purposes. All of his efforts thus far have been in vain and realizing that his country has betrayed not only himself but his family and his friends and everyone one who has ever been unduly wronged Frost is not at this stage of his life what one would call a "happy camper." Viewers will soon grasp that fact as they watch his presentation, one of the most riveting that I've ever encountered in my years of publishing.

Do share this post with others. We need to work together as Frank

repeatedly states if we're ever to end this seemingly endless cycle of perversion and injustice that's permeated every level of our country's legal system.

The only means of communicating with Frank Frost is to call him on his phone. The crooks in the RCMP and the Attorney General's office have made it virtually impossible for him to access the internet or maintain an email address. Call Frank at 1-250-569-0338 if you wish to contact him.

THE FRANK FROST INTERVIEW PART ONE:

CLICK HERE TO WATCH

THE FRANK FROST INTERVIEW PART TWO:

CLICK HERE TO WATCH

<http://www.radicalpress.com/?p=3390>

RCMP Corruption, Judicial Chicanery & Small Town Nepotism: The Bizarre Case of Robert White-Erickson by Arthur Topham
September 9, 2013 by admin

“What has transpired since I complained about the malicious actions performed by my neighbours, has morphed into a massive character-assassination attempt, labelling me a Domestic Terrorist by Government officials, as they continue their attempt to subdue, subvert and suppress any opportunity for me to defend myself and expose their corruption and cover-ups. It is blatantly obvious, these individuals will stop at nothing to cover up their crimes and shift blame for their wrong-doing towards me.”

~ Robert Erickson, yet another victim of RCMP corruption and collusion.

Editor's Background Notes

It's not surprising news these days that the RCMP and similar police force members across Canada have gained a reputation for brutal violence and lethal abuse of citizens who happen to get caught in their dragnets for whatever reason. We all saw the results in the killing of Robert Dziekanski at the Vancouver International Airport back in 2007 by the RCMP; only last month we witnessed the heinous and disgusting case in Alberta where a young female dentist, Dr. Simona

Tibu was beaten and sexually assaulted on her way to work by a sheriff; we still are awaiting a public inquiry into the alleged murder of a young B.C. woman by Quesnel RCMP officers that was witnessed by a local resident and then covered up by all levels of government. Further examples abound of cases of corruption involving the RCMP and the Judicial Court System in B.C. including the Jack Cram case going back to the mid-1990's and the more recent example of RCMP and Court collusion in the Jim Townsend story which was extensively covered in the RadicalPress.com website back in 2012 in a series of articles including [this one](#) and [this one](#) and [this one](#).

Given the above examples and many more that I haven't the space to mention I'm still forced to confess that the following report is literally so bizarre that more than once during the course of putting it together I was faced with serious doubts as to the authenticity of all I was told and shown by Robert White-Erickson, the man who contacted Radical Press sometime ago regarding his criminal charges. Since then I've interviewed White-Erickson on a number of occasions via telephone and email.

Were it not for his presence of mind and his determination to keep detailed records of all of the instances and acts of willful collusion by not only the RCMP and the courts but also those individuals in the village of McBride who were responsible in one way or another for instigating all the alleged offences which the police then acted upon without solid evidence, it would have been virtually impossible to accept that the whole series of events actually took place.

The degree of deliberate deception that appears to have been consciously committed by Cst. Peter Berndsen and his Commanding officer, Cpl. Kennedy with respect to the brutal beating and subsequent torture experienced by Robert White-Erickson on June 19th, 2012, on first glance appears incomprehensible, yet, given all the evidence that White-Erickson has provided to Radical Press during the course of my interviews with him it now takes on the appearance of a massive cover-up of immense and sinister proportions.

If nothing else, it is hoped that what Robert White-Erickson has revealed of this incident will somehow inspire others, be they mainstream media journalists, independent researchers or alternative media publishers to investigate the story further so it doesn't get side-tracked and lost in the endless maze of news stories that appear daily on the net.

Were it not for his presence of mind and determination to keep detailed records of all of the instances and acts of harassment, stalking and willful collusion by not only the RCMP and the courts but also those individuals in the village of McBride who were responsible in one way or another for instigating all the alleged offences which the police then acted upon without solid evidence, it would have been virtually impossible to accept that the whole series of events

actually took place.

The degree of deliberate deception that appears to have been consciously committed by Cst. Peter Berndsen and his Commanding officer, Cpl. Kennedy with respect to the brutal beating and subsequent torture experienced by Robert White-Erickson on June 19th, 2012, at first glance appears incomprehensible, yet, given all the evidence that White-Erickson has provided to Radical Press during the course of my interviews with him it now takes on the appearance of a massive cover-up of immense and sinister proportions.

If nothing else, it is hoped that what Robert White-Erickson has revealed of this incident will inspire others, be they mainstream media journalists, independent researchers or alternative media publishers to investigate the story further so it doesn't get side-tracked and lost in the endless maze of news stories that appear daily on the net. At this point it is far from over.

When I realized how much information White-Erickson had in his possession and the manner in which he was being treated by both the police and the courts I suggested he create a web blog and post all his corroborating evidence so investigators and the general public might have a source to access independent of what the mainstream media and spokespersons for the RCMP and the Attorney General's office might attempt to offer in explanation for this, thus far, hidden case of yet more RCMP corruption and brutality. Mr. White-Erickson (hereafter referred to as Robert Erickson in this report) took heed of my suggestion and has taken on the additional effort of creating a blog site while at the same time working around the clock to prepare for his upcoming trial to be held in McBride, B.C. on the 12th and 13th of September, 2013 less than a week from publication of this report. The blog, which is in the beginning states of construction, can be found at the following address: <http://rubiconcrossroad.wordpress.com/>

Arthur Topham
Editor
The Radical Press

A Back-to-the-Lander's Nightmare begins

By 2008 Robert Erickson was done with city life. His dry-cleaning business in Squamish, B.C. had provided him with a good living and a few years before he had bought a home in the prestigious Brackendale area. But being a man of strong convictions and principles, who also took an avid interest in world affairs, he also spent time studying and researching global events, politics and finance, all of which added to his growing awareness of the temper of the times we live in and eventually culminated in his decision to get out of the big city environment and relocate to somewhere in the province where he and his girlfriend Michelle MacDonald might escape the incessant crying of

police and ambulance sirens, the violence and drug culture and city gangs and find some seclusion and peace of mind in a more moderate, environmentally friendly area where the air was still fresh, the water clean and the soil organic enough to grow their own food.

But never in his wildest dreams did Robert Erickson ever think that by selling his business and moving with his girlfriend up to what he thought was the quaint and quiet community of McBride in northeast B.C. and joining one of the local Christian churches that he would soon end up being harassed, stalked, falsely accused, beaten up and tortured by the local RCMP detachment and then repeatedly jailed on numerous fabricated criminal charges that subsequently forced him to deal with the realities of a corrupt and compromised police and judicial system intent on turning his dream of a simple, sustainable lifestyle into a living nightmare.

The whole absurd spectacle of injustice began on August 31, 2010 when Erickson and his girlfriend, Michelle MacDonald first moved into their modest home in McBride, B.C. that Robert had purchased back in 2009.

A friend of theirs came up from the coast about a month later for a visit and while there he and Erickson were out in the backyard when his friend noticed that the neighbour across the back alleyway had some fancy siding on her garage. Seeing as the woman was out doing some landscaping in her yard he decided to engage in a friendly conversation with her about the siding. Little did Robert realize that this harmless, neighbourly gesture on the part of his buddy would be the beginning of three years of a legal hell on earth for himself and his girlfriend Michelle MacDonald; one that would eventually culminate in the destruction of their relationship.

Upon approaching Robert's neighbour to ask her about the siding she became extremely vexatious toward the two of them, displaying inexplicable anger. They immediately backed off and it wasn't until some time later that Robert was able to discover that the woman was suffering from mental problems exacerbated by the fact that her son had just recently been convicted of First Degree murder.

Nothing further came of the incident until the following spring when Robert was out cleaning his up his backyard, burning leaves and assorted organic matter in a regulation fire pit. During the cleanup Robert noticed his neighbour sitting in her vehicle staring at him for some time then suddenly driving off and giving him the "finger". A few minutes later, as Robert informed Radical Press, "approximately four members of the McBride Volunteer Fire Department arrived explaining that the distraught neighbour had filed a complaint against me. They requested me to extinguish the fire and I complied and they left. Minutes later, a member of the Fire Department arrived again, explaining that the neighbour had filed another complaint. The Fire Department member realized there was no danger, shook his head in frustration and drove off. Moments later, the neighbour having

returned home, approached me, yelling obscenities and threatening to “kick my ass and kill me.”

Fed up with his neighbour’s abusive behaviour Mr. Erickson decided to call the local RCMP detachment and report her threatening, aggressive behaviour to the authorities. Erickson did this on April 25th, 2011. When he called the station all he got was busy signals so the next day he approached Cst. Berndsen at the McBride Trading Company and reported the threats to Cst. Peter Berndsen and asked him to look into the matter. For whatever reasons Cst. Berndsen never responded to Robert’s complaint regarding his neighbour’s bizarre behaviour. Thus the nascent beginnings of what was to grow into a major abuse of process, power and cover-up first began.

The next incident occurred around July 15th, 2011 when Robert discovered that some of his other neighbours (two females) who were renting a home adjacent to his property had begun to build a driveway and that the contractor they had hired for the job was dumping aggregate on his property without Robert’s permission; aggregate that he knew was chemically treated. When he approached the man and asked him not dump the material on his property and also asked to see any building or variance permits that would allow for such actions he was again greeted with rude and disrespectful reactions by both the contractor and his neighbours. It also didn’t take a lot of deliberation on Robert’s part to realize that the contractor was also on friendly terms with his other neighbour who he had recently filed a complaint against with the local RCMP.

Seeing as no one was willing to be civil about the matter Robert went to the McBride Village District Office and filed a formal complaint while at the same time requesting information about whether or not the parties in question had obtained a permit for constructing the driveway. The clerk in the office couldn’t or wouldn’t help him and also acknowledged that she hadn’t received any permit applications from the property owner, the contractor, or the two neighbours renting the home.

When Robert got back to his home Cst. Berndsen of the McBride RCMP detachment was waiting there and began interrogating him after informing Erickson that the contractor had called the police and alleged that Robert had threatened him with violence. Erickson explained to the Cst. what had happened and no charges were laid against him but it was plainly obvious at that point the contractor’s allegations had been made under false pretenses and also that the police were willing to go along with the contractor’s spurious claim.

Not long after this incident on July 22nd, 2011 rainwater began to leach from his neighbour’s illegally constructed driveway and flood Erickson’s property. In order to rectify or cover up the incident his neighbours had called someone who worked for the Corporation of McBride Yard Works and was a McBride Volunteer Fire Department member

and they arrived with a front end loader and proceeded to once again dump more chemically treated dirt on Robert's property necessitating further problems with the Yard Works department. As the area was close to Robert's garden he could only conjecture that his neighbours' actions were designed to anger him so that he would say or do something which they in turn could try and use against him in order to press criminal charges.

In August Jeff Wagner had come to help Robert with some work on his home and had his truck full of tools. It was during this time period that they were again aggressively accosted verbally by the same woman who Robert had filed a complaint against with RCMP Cst. Peter Berndsen. This time, on top of her verbal insults, the woman also had the audacity to scratched the word "asshole" into the paint on Mr. Wagner's truck in what was plainly a blatant and intentionally malicious act designed, for some as yet unknown reason, to create further discord.

The next event occurred when his two renter neighbours called the RCMP and tried to have Robert arrested for allegedly cutting the electric cord to the heater plug that hung out the front of their vehicle. In response to their call a Cst. Nathan Fox came and inspected the cord and informed the couple that it was just old and corroded. He didn't charge Robert with any act of vandalism but later, unbeknownst to Robert, went to his girlfriend Michelle MacDonald's place of work and interrogated her regarding the incident.

Finally, in late December of 2011, the same two women renting the property next door called the RCMP again alleging that Robert had tried to assault them while he was out shovelling snow off his walkway. Once more Cst. Berndsen arrived at Robert's home and began interrogating him regarding the accusation. Having had the prescience of mind after all the previous incidents of vexatious allegations and harassment Erickson had gone to the trouble and expense of installing video surveillance cameras around his home and it was then that he told Cst. Berndsen he was being recorded by one of the cameras he had located around his property. As Robert put it, when Cst. Berndsen noticed the camera, he "about-faced" and made a hasty exit towards the RCMP Suburban and as he departed, said: "I wasn't here and didn't see anything".

As in all the other previous claims of wrong doing Robert was not charged with assault for the simple reason that there was no proof or evidence to support the most recent claim made against him. The two complainants had used fictitious allegations and false accusations and even though this was plainly evident Cst. Berndsen still failed to charge the two of them for having colluding in an obvious attempt to coerce him to press false charges against Erickson. As Robert put it to Radical Press, "Cst. Berndsen's failure to perform his duties and charge them for violating sections of the C.C.C., clearly indicated his intent, which was to protect them as well as his own involvement

with their schemes to stalk, harass and bully me. Once they became aware of the cameras on my property their scandalous dirty tricks to have me arrested on false charges were foiled.”

RCMP abuse and cover up take on a whole new dimension

It appears that a relative period of peace ensued during the winter interlude that lasted until March of 2012 when Robert's other neighbour, the woman who first initiated all the subsequent events in this story, tried to run him down with her Jeep Cherokee while he was walking to the Post Office. As before Erickson reported this attempt on his life to Cst. Berndsen and while doing so had two witnesses present with him but, just as with his first complaint, Cst. Berndsen once again failed to follow up on Robert's complaint and investigate the incident, again leading Robert to conclude that the officer was in fact condoning their behaviour or else colluding with his attackers for some reason.

The shyte finally hit the proverbial fan on June 19th, 2012 when his estranged neighbour made a 9-1-1 call to the RCMP detachment just after midnight alleging that Robert was out in his back yard screaming and hollering, playing extremely loud music, shooting off a rifle and generally disturbing the peace. This is where the two paths; one of truth and justice and the other of subterfuge and crime parted ways and the relatively harmless incidents prior to then took on a much more sinister and dangerous aspect.

Cst. Berndsen arrived at Robert Erickson's house alone without a warrant, entered the premises and proceeded to beat him, choke him and then arrest him for Breach of the Peace. In Robert's own words he described the incident thus:

“After a long day of working on the renovations Michelle and I and our guest Jeff Wagner had a nice meal followed by an evening of social discourse. Around 10:30 pm Michelle went to bed as she had to get up early for work the next morning. Jeff and I continued our discussions further until close to midnight when he retired and I decided to clean up the kitchen before doing likewise. While I was near the kitchen window I noticed a flashlight shining in the front yard. The streetlight outside was out at that time because of a malfunction and in the darkness the flashlight was easily visible. Thinking there could be a thief breaking into Mr. Wagner's work truck and stealing tools, I opened the front door to investigate. That's when Cst. Berndsen suddenly entered my house.

He grabbed me by the throat, dragged me into the bedroom, threw me on the bed, scaring Ms. MacDonald to death, and threatened to beat me if I moved. Cst. Berndsen is formidably sized, standing approximately 6'6" and weighing around 250 lbs. He then raided the entire house for anything he could find that might incriminate me, knowing that he needed something to support the unsubstantiated, fabricated lies of my

neighbours. Eventually, he found a pellet gun and confiscated it for evidence. This act of theft and asportation by Cst. Berndsen was apparently needed to create evidence supporting his neighbour's fictitious claims.

After Cst. Berndsen obtained what he needed, he cranked his handcuffs around my wrists so tight they were bleeding. I believe he did this intentionally, tempting me to act out against him, so he could create more charges against me. Cst. Berndsen was aware he needed to force me to retaliate, because the Breach of Peace charge was false, fictional and fabricated with no proof, evidence or merit. Cst. Berndsen became frustrated when I didn't retaliate in front of any witnesses during the arrest to give him the excuse or alibi he needed to justify his actions.

Cst. Berndsen waited until we arrived at the RCMP Detachment, then proceeded to punch, choke, assault and beat me while I was handcuffed and defenceless. He must have thought the public wouldn't see it and he wouldn't get caught, as he was the only officer on duty at time of night and there were no auxiliary guards there either. Possibly he thought that I might attack him so he could fabricate further charges against me. During my incarceration Cst. Berndsen intentionally left the handcuffs on so I would suffer excruciating pain even though I was already locked up behind bars. He forced me to starve, freeze, suffer the incessantly loud noise of a 24hr. fan, the blinding of a 24hr. spotlight and the inability to sleep during incarceration. Because of these torture tactics my wrists suffered nerve damage that I am still having to contend with.

Ultimately, Cst. Berndsen fabricated three (3) additional charges after the Breach of Peace charge while I was incarcerated. Two (2) counts of Uttering Threats to Cst. Berndsen and One (1) count of mischief. Cst. Berndsen failed to explain how I broke any laws, while he covertly added on these additional charges."

At this point in the story it's imperative that readers understand just how totally contradictory the two versions of Robert Erickson's arrest truly are. In order to juxtapose Robert's version of his arrest with that of the RCMP's I would suggest that readers view the following report submitted by Cst. Berndsen. It flies in the face of everything that Robert Erickson has stated and also contradicts the statements in the form of legal affidavits which both Michelle McDonald and Jeff Wagner both submitted on Robert's behalf attesting to what took place on the evening of June 18th, 2012 leading up to the subsequent events which transpired after the arrival of Cst. Berndsen around 12:30 am on June 19th. It's as if we are talking about two completely different incidents and it quite frankly boggles the mind to have to entertain the idea that the police report on this incident could be so diametrically opposite that which Erickson and not only two immediate witnesses, but others as well, have submitted to the contrary.

Is this for freakin' real?!!!

One can only shake their head in utter disbelief and exclaim: Is this for freakin' real?!!! Is this what really goes on in McBride, B.C. (and in so many other rural and urban centres around Canada) under the auspices of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police's mandate to "serve and protect"? Surely there has to be some mistake here; some reasonable explanation; something logical that would justify to a person's common sense how a member of the RCMP could instigate such a horrendous act of physical abuse on a prisoner whose hands are tied and unable to defend themselves? But, of course, it isn't a mistake, and in fact it's only a foreshadowing of more to come as Robert Erickson's tale of police abuse, cover up and collusion continues to unfold.

Following Cst. Berndsen's power-tripping, sadistic attack upon Mr. Erickson he then locks him up in a cell and proceeds to "guard" him as Erickson, all the while, pleads and struggles to have the excruciatingly painful steel handcuffs removed. Unable to even unzip his fly when he had to go to the bathroom Erickson was forced to urinate on the cell floor, an act that could easily have been prevented had he not been handcuffed, yet now is being used as further justification on the part of the RCMP and the courts to charge the victim with still another sham count of "mischief". This went on until 6:30 am when another officer came in for duty. But even then Cst. Berndsen didn't remove Erickson's handcuffs but made him wait a couple of hours more before finally removing them and even then he commanded Erickson to stick his hands through the small opening in the cell door and then proceeded to wrench and twist on the handcuffs for about fifteen minutes causing additional pain and anguish to the victim. When he finally removed the cuffs and Robert stood up he was able to see through the small window opening and noted that Cpl. Kennedy had been standing next to Cst. Berndsen all the while this abuse of Erickson was taking place.

Robert Erickson is then held in jail until Cpl. Kennedy, Berndsen's superior officer transports Robert to Prince George, B.C. Throughout the whole of the trip which takes a few hours Cpl. Kennedy refused to give Erickson any water to drink even though it was a hot, dry day. Upon arriving at the police station in Prince George Erickson is held in a cell until a duty counsel comes in and tells him he's going to act on Erickson's behalf. Erickson objects telling him that he will act on his own behalf (as is his legal right) and then demands that he be allowed to appear before a judge (again his legal right) but the police obviously don't want Erickson appearing in a public courtroom given his physical appearance where his eye is black and swollen and bruise marks are visible around his neck and cuts on his wrists. Erickson is told that he has to sign the Bail of Recognizance document before being released but he refuses stating that he acknowledges having had it read to him and is willing to accept a copy and adhere to the bail restrictions but is unwilling to agree in writing to the

charges. They finally released him and his girlfriend Michelle picked him up and they immediately return to McBride.

Sometime after Robert Erickson returned to McBride he did what any normal, sane person would do under such circumstances – he filed a complaint with RCMP Complaints Commissioner Bob Paulson, the man appointed by PM Stephen Harper back in November of 2011 to oversee and put a stop to just the types of increasing Canada-wide acts of gross abuse and injustice as Mr. Erickson had only recently experienced.

Robert Erickson, after submitting his nine page complaint, on November 23, 2012 later followed up by sending additional correspondence to Commissioner Bob Paulson requesting a reply. The result? There was nothing in the way of any formal acknowledgment of his letter to the Complaints Commissioner. Instead, Cpl. Kennedy, Cst. Berndsen's friend, colleague and commanding officer, was, as Erickson informed Radical Press, eventually "tasked by the Commission for Public Complaints Against the RCMP to investigate the complaint against Cst. Berndsen." In other words and par for the course, the fox was once more charged with overseeing the mayhem within the chicken coop caused by his cunning brother vulpes in yellow stripes, Cst. Peter Berndsen.

Rather than Cpl. Kennedy dealing directly with this blatant assault upon Erickson, Robert concluded that it was, "...obvious, throughout the investigations, Cpl. Kennedy was perpetuating the cover-up of Cst. Berndsen's wrong-doings and has not answered, replied, refuted, rebutted, or responded to any of my correspondence, statements or questions. Cpl. Kennedy, by his silence and refusal to cooperate with the investigations, his failure to provide proof or evidence, follow procedure of law, due diligence or due process, clearly has indicated his own involvement in all this scandalous corruption."

It must be mentioned at this point that McBride is a small community of approximately 400 souls that has neither a Court Registry nor a presiding judge, nor any law offices available and so all legal matters automatically revert to the closest urban centre providing legal services to British Columbians, that being, Prince George. And that is where Robert Erickson ended up for his first court appearance but never was given the chance to appear before a judge at that time. According to Robert's statement regarding this event the Crown Prosecutor, Mr. Geoff McDonald, "Immediately dropped the Breach of Peace charge, as it was apparently obvious there was no substantiating evidence to support the charge that was initially and intentionally used as a gateway to create and fabricate the additional charges. The decision to drop the Breach of Peace charge, clearly established the intent to use the charge as a means for set-ups and entrapments to ultimately fabricate additional charges.

The Court imposed a Recognizance of Bail against me. In the Recognizance of Bail, I was now under house arrest and ordered to have no contact directly or indirectly with any of the three people who had

laid the complaints. The Recognizance of Bail is a trap, set up to prevent me from following due process and the procedure of law by requesting an Examination for Discovery from the three people involved who now happen to be key Crown witnesses. The Crown doesn't want me to investigate these witnesses on record. The Crown is not willing to follow the procedure of law or due process, because the gaping hole they have dug is so deep, they need to do everything possible to cover it up."

Along with the setting of bail the Court ordered Robert Erickson to report once a week to a Bail Supervisor in McBride. Realizing that McBride has no Court Registry or any lawyers Robert requested that the supervisor provide him with a Provisional Curfew Exemption, a document that would allow Erickson to travel to areas where he might seek counsel. The Bail Supervisor flatly refused to provide him with such a document. As Robert put it, "The Bail Supervisor's abuse of power is an obvious indication she is willing to perpetuate the injustices, crimes and scandalous dirty tricks committed by a carefully orchestrated, sophisticated network colluding together.

Keeping me trapped in McBride has forced me to defend myself, prevented me from preparing a proper defence and provided another scheming opportunity for the Court, the RCMP and other key individuals to attempt further assassinations of my character. The Government of Canada has recently character profiled those who defend themselves in Court as Domestic Terrorists and Crown Prosecutor Geoff McDonald in the transcripts of my hearing as well as in unsolicited letters sent to me unabashedly goes out of his way to label me in this regard."

Given this preposterous scenario it's difficult to comprehend, yet clearly evident, that Crown Prosecutor Geoff McDonald not only placed Mr. Erickson in serious legal jeopardy by forcing him to remain stuck in McBride without any means of obtaining counsel or legal advice but on top of all that had the brazen audacity to actually send Robert an unsolicited letter claiming that he was a "silly, ridiculous, absurd, paranoid terrorist that wastes everyone's time."

Responding to this bizarre ad hominem attack upon himself by Crown Prosecutor McDonald, Robert told Radical Press, "Mr. McDonald, in his frustration, has lowered himself to name calling, which should raise some serious public concerns, as the continuous defamation and character-assassination, on record, seems to be his only strategy for prosecution."

The last two strikes (so far)

Two further incidents of vital importance need to be mentioned in this report, both of which are intrinsic to it and relevant in the sense that they highlight even further the degree of malfeasance that occurs when attempts are made to cover up one crime by committing additional wrong-doing.

Robert Erickson was now trapped in McBride basically under house arrest and unable to get away for more than part of a day. But that apparently wasn't good enough for the local RCMP and whomever else in the small community didn't want him to remain even relatively free while awaiting his upcoming trial scheduled for Thursday and Friday of September 12th and 13th, 2012.

When bail restrictions were first imposed on Erickson the time for him to report each Tuesday was deemed by the supervisor to be "flexible". Robert usually arrived at some point in the morning and reported in but then on one of two separate occasions when he didn't get there until after the noon hour one of the staff people in the police station told him that he was in breach of his conditions. Robert questioned the person about it and she then showed him what turned out to be a secret bail agreement that he had not been privy to which said he had to be there by a specific time. Robert obtained a copy of the agreement and then over the course of the week contacted his bail supervisor and others involved in order to straighten out the situation. It obviously didn't help as the following Tuesday when he arrived around 12:45 pm he found the doors locked (which on more than one occasion was common). He had to go and make a phone call in order to have the doors opened and when he walked into the police station Cpl. Kennedy was waiting for him and immediately arrested Robert for breach of bail and carted him off again to Prince George, this time to the Prince George jail below the court house. When he appeared before another judge he was then told that there were stated time restrictions which he had broken and that he should have known not to arrive too late for reporting in. Robert then explained to the judge that he had never been informed of the fact and had not seen any document stating the precise times nor had he signed anything indicating such. As it turned out the person issuing the bail document hadn't confirmed these regulations with Erickson and he had the proof that it was that way.

The final (or should I say latest?) attempt to destroy Robert Erickson's defence and discredit him was the underhanded efforts by Cpl. Kennedy to turn Robert's girlfriend against him which, unfortunately, due to the stress incurred over the past two years and more of relentless harassment, stalking, abuse and imprisonment finally proved successful. Having endured the same onerous tactics by the police and courts myself over the past seven years of litigation and knowing just how hard it is on one's spouse with all the legal proceedings and debilitating effects it creates, it's not too difficult to understand how Robert and Michelle's personal relationship finally reached the point where the police were able to entice Michelle into playing along with their intended program of entrapment and through their direct influence were able to persuade Michelle to file charges of criminal harassment and theft against Robert Erickson based upon information given to them by Michelle McDonald.

The result was that on the morning of August 22nd, 2013 Cpl. Kennedy once again arrested Robert Erickson on the two latest charges and he was incarcerated in the McBride jail and then transported back to the P.G. Regional Correctional Centre on August 23rd where he remained in custody until the morning of the 26th when he was taken downtown to another holding cell in the basement of the Prince George Courthouse. After three attempts before a judge where Erickson refused to sign the Bail of Recognizance the judge finally told him that he would not release Robert until he signed it. Under duress Robert signed it and then was released and had to make his way back to McBride via the Greyhound Bus which the court had to cover.

When Robert Erickson finally made it back home around midnight on the night of the 26th and walked into his house he found the place totally ransacked. His safe and computer and all his money and documents were gone along with his former girlfriend and his truck which she had stolen in order to haul away her belongings and some of his. The next day Robert reported the incident to the RCMP and filed charges of theft against Michelle McDonald in order to get his truck back. To date he has not heard a single thing from the police regarding his vehicle and so he's stuck in McBride now without any means of transport other than his mountain bike.

Concluding remarks

Too many questions remain unanswered regarding the arrest and abuse of Robert Erickson by the RCMP. Cst. Berndsen's story about empty booze bottles and a drunk and stumbling man screaming and yelling and playing loud music late at night flies in the face of all that Erickson says and Michelle MacDonald and Jeff Wagner have sworn in their affidavits. If Erickson was plastered like Berndsen claims in his report then why did he not administer a breathalyzer test at some point and confirm the fact?

Why too has the Crown Prosecutor in this case Mr. Geoff McDonald displayed such unprofessional behaviour throughout the whole of the litigation process? Why the attempts to try and discredit Robert Erickson at every turn by accusing him of being mentally unstable and trying to associate him with the Freeman on the Land movement and more recently the latest attempt at pigeon-holing anyone who challenges the courts as being part of what is being touted by lawyers and the judiciary as the Organized Pseudo-legal Commercial Argument (OPCA) Litigants?

These and more beg the question as to why the police and the courts are doing their damndest to destroy this man's reputation and life.

This report, for all its length, hasn't covered all of the events that Robert Erickson conveyed to me throughout the many conversations and email exchanges over the past few weeks. There is just too much

material to condense into one article but what I have covered, I believe is the gist of all the main components of his case. All that now remains is Robert's upcoming trial that begins this coming Thursday, September 12th and runs until Friday the 13th. Erickson remains without legal counsel and although he is an articulate man and fairly knowledgeable of the law and the court system experience has shown that the RCMP and the courts will resort to anything and everything in their power to silence and punish anyone who attempts to stand up for their basic human and constitutional rights and who refuses to compromise themselves with corruption and injustice. It is hoped that his story, told here in brief, will somehow help to bring his plight before the eyes of the general public and also act in a positive way to shield Robert Erickson from any further deliberate collusion and abuse.

Those interested in social justice and the growing threat of Canada turning into yet another police state are earnestly requested to pass this report on to as many other websites, publishers and media outlets as possible.

Anyone wishing to contact Robert Erickson can do so by either emailing him at the following address: foghorn.leghorn_175
foghorn.leghorn_175@zoho.com
or else via telephone at 1-604-229-0924

For further information on Robert Erickson's case please visit his blog at <http://rubiconcrossroad.wordpress.com/>

The author can be reached at radical@radicalpress.com

<http://www.radicalpress.com/?p=3830>

FREE ROBERT ERICKSON-WHITE CANADA'S LATEST POLITICAL PRISONER
December 11, 2013 by admin

Well, here we go again with more RCMP corruption and Court chicanery in the case of Robert Erickson-White. Readers may recall that I did a comprehensive story on Erickson-White that was published on September 9th, 2013.

Erickson-White, a resident of McBride, B.C. ran afoul of the locals in his new home when he realized that a lot of monkey business was going on regarding permits and he began to question the local authorities one thing led to another and before long the RCMP was involved. After that false allegations were made against Erickson-White and a long and onerous serious of legal wrangling ensued culminating in Erickson-White's arrest by the local RCMP.

Out of it all came a court case and eventually Erickson-White was exonerated but in the meantime his girlfriend became so stressed out over the whole affair that she succumbed to pressures by the Crown

Prosecutor in Prince George, Geoff McDonald and the local police detachment in McBride and was persuaded to lay false charges against her boyfriend which resulted in a second trip to the Prince George Regional Correctional Center and more legal hassles. Then, while Erickson-White was locked safely away the cops in McBride assisted his girlfriend in stealing his computer equipment, two safes containing a sizeable amount of gold bullion and cash and to top it off let her drive off to the coast of southern B.C. in Erickson-White's pick up truck! Now that's taking the old RCMP motto "To serve" to an extreme I would think.

During the period when Erickson-White was preparing for his court case he was severely restricted and bound to report to the local cop shop every week or else face further incarceration. When his trial finally came about he represented himself and was found to be not guilty of the alleged crimes.

It was during this time of endless court battles that Robert Erickson-White met another local resident of McBride, Mr. Frank Frost. When they began to exchange their stories it quickly became apparent that they were both targets of injustice by the RCMP and the Judicial system. That was when Erickson-White assisted Frank Frost in producing the two-part video series which I just ran telling the Frank Frost story.

Throughout this same period of legal trouble Erickson-White had created a website in order to post all of the incriminating evidence that he had gathered over the course of the past two years or more related to his case. On it he also had Frank Frost's videos and numerous other videos showing the level of police corruption taking place in this remote northern community as well as the misfeasance taking place in the court rooms of the Prince George Court House. All of these actions apparently didn't sit well with the culprits whose behaviour was being reported on the internet and so on November 5th, Tuesday and the day Robert was to report to RCMP headquarters as part of his bail conditions, he was arrested again on more fabricated charges and has been sitting rotting away in the Prince George Regional Correctional Center.

Being caught up in my own mini-drama with the sec. 319(2) CCC "hate crime" charge that I'm facing I didn't realize that Erickson-White had been incarcerated again until I received a letter from him containing a Christmas card and a letter explaining what had happened to him. That letter is reprinted here verbatim for readers to see (and hopefully to act on).

For those readers who've never had to spend time in a jail cell all of this may appear academic and merely another case of "injustice" that's noteworthy for the moment but then forgotten. Try to recall what it might be like if the tables were turned and it was actually you who was locked up behind bars and treated like a nameless, faceless animal

with no rights and no dignity. Then, think about possibly doing something even if it's writing a letter to the local Prince George newspapers or the MLA for the McBride area or even sending an email to the Attorney General's office in Victoria and asking about Robert and demanding that he be released from any further incarceration and an investigation take place regarding the totality of criminal activities that have taken place surrounding all of his shabby treatment by the RCMP and the provincial court system. Today it's Robert. Tomorrow it just might be you. Failing any proactive efforts of that nature why not send the article to as many of your friends and associates that you think might benefit from reading it. Maybe some of them will take the initiative. To just sit back and do nothing is as good as being complicit in the crime itself and we'll never expose these criminals.

Robert Erickson-White
P.G.R.C.C.
Box 4300
Prince George, B.C.
V2L 5J9
FAX: (1) 250-960-3021

December 3, 2013

ATTN: ROBERT WHITE, CS #02901312 UNIT 2 EAST CELL 32

Dear Arthur,

I'm not sure if you have become aware of my situation?

I was unlawfully arrested on November 5th and locked up in the Prince George Regional Correction Centre (P.G.R.C.C.) as the Crown Prosecutor, Geoff McDonald and his RCMP cohorts, once again decided, in their continuing pursuit violate my human rights, to tack on more charges against me. I'm assuming they didn't like my posting the evidence (truth) on my blogsite, www.rubiconcrossroad.wordpress.com/, so they arbitrarily detained me in their efforts to "shut me up."

To give you a "heads up" I request for you to stop into P.G.R.C.C. and visit me. I will have you on the "visitors list." That way, if possible, you could write the continuation of the story of my case. There has been new revelations since the last time we spoke. The Prosecutor and the RCMP are now in trouble for aiding and abetting Michelle in the theft of my wealth, truck, safes and personal property. Eye witnesses are coming forward and Michelle has recanted her testimony on several fronts, one of them being, "I didn't want to do it" which reaffirms she was colluding with and coerced by the RCMP in their set-up and entrapment to arbitrarily arrest and detain me on false charges while they coerced Michelle Michelle to lay false charges and false testimony on my second day of the trial. She (Michelle) has now come forward and not only admitted to me but to others as well that the Prosecutor and the RCMP helped her steal my

truck and possessions and in return she gave false testimony and pressed false, fabricated and unsubstantiated charges against me.

Once again my enemies are trying go isolate me further and continue their attempt to muzzle a legitimate complaint from reaching the surface. Faxes, mail and telephone correspondence has been stymied on several occasions here at P.G.R.C.C. They have denied several attempts by a close friend from McBride, Mr. Frank Frost, to come and visit.

You will have to make an attempt to visit me here and if you are denied make sure you document the situation. Arthur, right now I need all the help and all the exposure possible. I need the public and the alternative news media to get involved and expose this corruption and make sure it doesn't become forgotten and the story fall to the wayside.

I need as much help as possible right now. I need many people to rally and someone to correspond with friends and contacts on Facebook. I will stay in touch as I need the media to correspond with me as I'm incarcerated. I'm hoping you will be able to receive this Christmas card and letter and will be able to visit at your earliest convenience as it looks as though I will be spending my Birthday and Christmas in jail thanks to another round of phoney charges.

I hope to hear from you soon Arthur. Now I'm even more isolated and unable to report online concerning my own case. Please try and round up everyone you can to phone officials, the media and anyone they can to get the message out to have me released. Thank you.

Regards,

God bless and Merry Christmas

Your friend,

Robert Erickson-White

Regina v RadicalPress.com LEGAL UPDATE #17 January 27th, 2014

Regina v RadicalPress.com LEGAL UPDATE #17 January 27th, 2014

Dear Radical Reader,

The following Legal Update is the longest one in the series thus far. It basically covers much of the story leading up to the Preliminary Inquiry that took place on January 22nd and 23rd, 2014.

I would ask that you try and move this article around as much as possible. It contains a fairly substantial amount of information pertaining to what is currently going on here in Canada with respect to the ever-increasing efforts on the part of the Jewish lobby to impose greater and greater controls over our basic rights and freedoms. Going through this article will give you some additional insights into just how the process is unfolding.

For freedom of speech and Justice for All,
Arthur Topham
Pub/Ed
The Radical Press
"Digging to the root of the issues since 1998"

Regina v RadicalPress.com LEGAL UPDATE #17 January 27th, 2014
Regina v RadicalPress.com LEGAL UPDATE #17
January 27th, 2014

January 27th, 2014

Dear Free Speech Advocates and Radical Press Supporters,

Due to the nature of this particular Legal Update, i.e., it being recent events connected to my Preliminary Inquiry, the necessity arose for editorial commentary throughout the report wherever I felt it was warranted. It also meant that it would be a rather long article as well. The need to present a general overview of my case now that it's finally reached this stage is the reason for its inordinate length.

January 22nd, 2014 marked the 616th day since my arrest on May 16th, 2012 for the alleged crime of "communicating statements, other than in private conversation, [that] willfully promote hatred against an identifiable group, people of the Jewish religion or ethnic origin, contrary to Section 319(2) of the Criminal Code." The actual section of the Criminal Code of Canada reads:

Wilful promotion of hatred

(2) Every one who, by communicating statements, other than in private conversation, willfully promotes hatred against any identifiable group is guilty of

- (a) an indictable offence and is liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years; or
- (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

In the Legal Rights section of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, under "Proceedings in criminal and penal matters", 11(b) it states:

11. Any person charged with an offence has the right
(b) to be tried within a reasonable time;

According to the stated legal rights of all Canadian citizens (as denoted in the above Section 11(b) of the Charter), one must assume that a wait of 616 days or 20 months plus should be construed as being a “reasonable time” in which to expect one’s case to be heard in a Canadian court of law. But of course 616 days is only the beginning of the arduous process of seeking justice within the Canadian court system. January 22nd, 2014 was not the day when my trial on these specious charges was set to commence; it was but the date set for the Preliminary Inquiry which is basically an opportunity afforded the accused wherein they are given an opportunity to dispute the actual evidence which precipitated the laying of charges based on the Crown’s allegations.

I will get to the actual proceedings but first I’d like to say a few words about this section of the Canadian Criminal Code (CCC) which is placed under the heading “Hate Propaganda” and exists as Sections 318(1) through to Section 320.1(1) of the Code itself. This vile, undemocratic section of the Criminal Code was inserted into law by Zionist forces operating within the Cohen Commission back in 1970 and remains the one critical section of Canada’s criminal code where the pro-Zionist elements within Canada are now focusing their combined effort in a last ditch, desperate legal campaign designed to censor and silence Canada’s Internet and prevent Freedom of Speech from occurring without fear of legal reprisals.

Until Canada is free of all this Zionist created “HATE” legislation we will never be able to say that we’re a democratic nation that values the one fundamental God-given right that must remain sacrosanct in order to retain all of our other inherent rights, that being the right to free and unfettered expression. All of it must be eliminated so that a level playing field will exist for every Canadian.

The Preliminary Inquiry – Day One

Back in November of 2013 the date, January 22nd, 2014, was set for a full day to hold a preliminary inquiry into my Sec. 319(2) “hate crime” case involving the two complainants – B’nai Brith Canada (represented by Harry Abrams) and Richard Warman, a lawyer involved in numerous former Sec. 13 cases prior to the law’s repeal in June of 2012. My former lawyer, Doug Christie, had requested that at least one week of time be set aside for the preliminary inquiry in order to challenge all the specious evidence that Crown had used in order to gain its search warrant then used to invade my residence and steal all my computers and electronic files plus other hard copy materials which weren’t covered in the warrant. Crown at that time agreed to four

days.

After the passing of Mr. Christie in March of 2013 Crown Counsel Jennifer Johnston changed that time period to one day, telling the judge that in her estimation a single day was all the time necessary for Crown to – as Crown and Judge Morgan have been wont to say repeatedly, – “pass the Shepherd test” and move the case on to the trial stage. The “Shephard Test“, for those not versed in court legalese involved an extradition case back in the 1970's out of which emerged a number of test arguments as to the degree of evidence required in order for a judge to determine whether or not to move the case forward.

Being self-represented and unaware of the machinations of Crown I ended up with one day in order to address all the issues including the sworn information of Cst. Normandie Levas provided to a Justice of the Peace in order to have the search warrant approved; information that contained numerous allegations which appeared to have been written by a Zionist script writer rather than by someone who was at the time relatively new to the controversial BC HATE CRIME TEAM and not versed in the whole array of research necessary to make expert commentary on issues dealing with what may or may not be alleged to be “hate” literature. All these allegations initially sworn in the Information regarding postings on the RadicalPress.com website were basically the same evidence that Crown was now introducing at the preliminary inquiry in order to convince Judge Morgan that there was sufficient evidence to commit my case to trial.

Initially Crown was planning to call a number of witnesses for the preliminary inquiry, the bulk of them being RCMP officers involved in the surveillance and later plunder of my home and theft of my computers and electronic files and firearms. The others were Barry Salt an expert in the field of forensic examination of computers and data and, of course, Det.Cst. Terry Wilson, the Lead Investigator for the BC HATE CRIME TEAM located in Surrey, B.C. I had made application to the court to have the judge order Crown to subpoena the other crucial witnesses – the two complainants who had filed the vexatious complaints in the first place and Cst. Normandie Levas, the second member of the BC HATE CRIME TEAM who, as the Affiant swearing the Information, was responsible for the act that led to the granting of the search warrant used to enter my home and steal all of my computer equipment and firearms. Judge Morgan did eventually direct Crown to have Cst. Levas appear but as she was on “holidays” at the time of the scheduled inquiry a later date of March 13th, 2014 was set for cross-examination.

During an earlier focus hearing on January 3rd, 2014 Judge Morgan mediated some concessions between Crown and myself, which I agreed to, regarding some of the witnesses being called in order to prove where I

lived and what firearms I had in my possessions and so on; items that would cut down the time which would otherwise have been wasted giving evidence for incidental aspects of the case that I wasn't intending to challenge. As a concession to this Crown agreed to reconsider the second firearms count involving unsafe storage. At the time, I informed Judge Morgan that I recently had taken the PAL firearms safety course and received 100% of the written test and 90% on the practical test and was now in the process of sending my application off. I also informed Judge Morgan that I was planning to purchase a certified gun storage locker in which to store my firearms properly. Crown then stated that if these preconditions were achieved that they would consider staying the firearms charge.

What was scheduled to be a one day inquiry, like all great plans of mice and men, turned out to be a horse of another colour. I had made arrangements with my two witnesses, Mr. Frank Frost and Mr. Lonnie Landrud, to be at the courthouse at 9:30 a.m. on the morning of Wednesday, January 22nd. When my wife and I arrived around 9:15 a.m. it was evident that my case was not going to be the only one scheduled for the morning. Now this is not an uncommon occurrence in the Quesnel Courthouse (or in many other smaller communities throughout B.C.) and it all stems from government ineptitude (or design?) that there are never enough judges and prosecutors and courtrooms available to handle the volume of cases awaiting address. Nonetheless, I did expect that for a formal preliminary inquiry time would have been arranged so that it could occur without needless interruption.

After approximately twenty minutes of lawyers and Crown attempting to reschedule times, etc. my case began and Crown called their first witness, Det. Cst. Terry Wilson, lead investigator for the BC HATE CRIME TEAM. Det. Wilson informed the court as to his name and position within the RCMP and when Crown asked him about his involvement with RadicalPress.com he told the court that he been monitoring the RadicalPress.com website since April 28th, 2011. It was on that date he first received an email from Richard Warman who registered a Sec. 319(2) "hate crime" complaint against the site. I thought it was rather amusing given that it was right around the time of the last federal election (May 2nd, 2011) and I had just posted a long article on Harper only the day before on April 27th which I had titled "Hating Harper". It's possible that Warman didn't appreciate the graphic header for the piece in question that caused him to lay the charge or it may have been my advice at the time to the Canadian electorate warning them of dire days ahead should Canadians hand Stephen Harper a mandate to govern the nation. Whatever it was, given the current controversy over Harper and his entourage of Zionist sycophant ministers and pro-Israeli band of Chabad Lubavicher controllers traveling at great taxpayer expense to the state of Israel and soiling Canada's image as a sovereign nation with their unabashed grovelling and overt support for this criminal state, it was rather apropos that Warman would suddenly file a complaint against RadicalPress.com at that particular point in time.

Det. Wilson then went on to describe to the court how his unit has been investigating the website since that time (a period of approximately 32 months thus far) and in the process confirming to the judge that the articles and online books and links, etc. were available to the general public and that anybody could just go there and click on a link and read whatever they wanted without having to enter any passwords or penetrate any firewalls. I thought to myself as he was going on, "My goodness, an acknowledged alternative news site and all you have to do is click on the url to it and the home page or whatever document hyperlink you may have clicked on in the sidebar or the menu bar above just suddenly appears and you can actually view it and read it! What a genius that Arthur Topham must be!"

Det. Wilson also told the court that the website has been running and posting new materials on a regular basis ever since the original conditions of my bail were changed with the exception of a few days in November of 2012 when the site was transferred to a new host server.

It was at this point that Det. Wilson then set up his laptop and introduced the courtroom to a special computer software program that allowed him to show the judge, myself and Crown what appeared to be interactive video footage of my website that they had copied to the program. We all had our own individual monitor screens and sat there while Det. Wilson took us on a virtual journey around the RadicalPress.com home page explaining to the judge and Crown how the site operates. Given the fact that it operates as any normal WordPress program would it was like sitting through an introductory lesson on basic computer skills that one might offer a Grade 2 or 3 class of children. This went on for some time and we all observed with great interest as Det. Wilson clicked on a hyperlink in the Pages section on the side bar and lo and behold the article or book would suddenly appear right there on the screen! All of this was, ostensibly, being done to show that any person in Canada could easily access all the "hate" and "anti-Semitism" and "racism" toward the Jewish population that the Crown alleges is present on the RadicalPress.com website.

Having endured this little media sideshow the judge then called for a break at 10:15 a.m. after which court resumed and other cases once again intruded into the schedule. My inquiry ceased at that point. The lunch hour eventually came and when court reconvened at 1:30 p.m. for the afternoon session more cases consumed the time. It wasn't until around 3:45 p.m. that the preliminary inquiry resumed. It was at this stage that Crown finally got down to the meat and potatoes of its argument. Det. Wilson was presented with a massive black binder that eventually was entered as Exhibit A in the proceedings. I had been given the same binder a couple of days prior to the inquiry as well and had time to peruse its contents beforehand so it wasn't a surprise to me. What it contained was hard copy pages of four online books that are present on RadicalPress.com plus two articles of my own that were also on the site. Each was given a tab number and they appeared in the

following order:

Tab 1: Germany Must Perish

Tab 2: Israel Must Perish

Tab 3: Protocols of Zion

Tab 4: The Biological [sic]

Tab 5: The Jewish Religion

Tab 6: Karen Selick: Just Another Hate-mongering Germanophobe Jew by Arthur Topham

Crown Counsel Jennifer Johnston then proceeded to ask Det. Wilson questions regarding the 6 items posted on RadicalPress.com.

With respect to Tab 1 which was the online version of Theodore N. Kaufman's book *Germany Must Perish!* Wilson went on to describe the book and what it was about. He gave a reasonable outline of its aim and purpose which was to spread anti-German propaganda against the National Socialist government of Germany and the German nation.

When it came to Tab 2 Wilson presented his views in a somewhat modified form than his original statements wherein he was very emphatic about the fact that I had actually written a "real" book bearing the title, *Israel Must Perish!* Now he was admitting that it was a reproduction of segments of Kaufmann's book and that I had only changed certain words like "Germany" and "German" and "Hitler" to "Israel" and "Jew" and "Netanyahu" and the rest of the text was actually Kaufman's. Crown then asked Wilson if he had read the Preface to this "book" which was written by myself. Wilson responded in the affirmative and said that he had read it. At no time though did he broach the issue of my assertion (contained in the Preface) that it was actually a satirical article based on Kaufman's original hard copy book.

Tab 3 was, of course, the infamous book that the Jews have been attempting to erase from the screen of world history ever since it first appeared back at the turn of the 20th century. The *Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion* has been attacked as an "anti-Semitic" book from day one and as the writer/journalist Douglas Reed, author of the classic study of Zionism, *The Controversy of Zion*, wrote, more money has been spent on trying to prove this particular book to be a fraud than any other book in history. And for good reason.

Again, Det. Wilson's assessment of the book was that it was a fraudulent attempt to promote anti-Semitism and hatred of the Jewish population and added that those who promote it see the book as a "roadmap" of the Zionist Jews' attempt to "take over the world" and create a Jewish one world government. Crown asked Det. Wilson whether the book existed on other websites as well and he confirmed that it could be found on many websites besides RadicalPress.com.

Tab 4 was the online version of a book written by Eustice Mullins

called *The Biological Jew*. Wilson then went on to describe the book as an anti-Semitic book that describes the Jews as “societal parasites”. It was also admitted that this book could also be found on other websites as well as on RadicalPress.com.

Tab 5 referred to the book titled, *The Jewish Religion: It’s Influence Today* by Elizabeth Dilling. Crown asked Det. Wilson to describe the book and he testified that it was in his estimation “anti-Semitic” and then went on to describe how bad it was and how the author accuses the Jewish rabbis of terrible things like having sex with very young children and so on. Crown then asked Wilson whether or not the author of the book, Elizabeth Dilling, was a “real person”. Wilson’s response was, “I have no idea if the author is a real person”.

Tab 6 was a reference to an article that I had published on RadicalPress.com back on August 13, 2013 entitled, *Karen Selick: Just Another Hate-mongering Germanophobe Jew*. Finally, I thought to myself, we’re getting to something that I, personally, had penned and I was waiting for Det. Wilson’s assessment of how he felt my writing was such an example of “hate” that it warranted inclusion in the Crown’s arsenal of classic cases of such literature. Det. Wilson then went on to explain to the court that it was a graphic image which I had included in my article that he perceived to be proof that it was yet another anti-Semitic, “hate” piece. I enclose that example directly below for the reader’s consideration.

Screen Shot 2014-01-25 at 9.43.15 AM 2

Following Wilson’s comments regarding Tab 6 Crown then asked him if all of these online books were still up on the website and Wilson replied that all of the books that he found on the website were still there and to his knowledge none had been removed since I was arrested back on May 16th, 2012. It was at this point that Det. Wilson stated, “This is a massive website.”

Crown asked a few other related questions about Det. Wilson’s role in the arrest and he explained that he wasn’t present at my home during the search and seizure of my computers and firearms but that Cst. Gill, the “Exhibit officer” has provided him with my property afterwards. It was then that Det. Wilson sent the computers and firearms for “forensic” analysis so that the RCMP could show the court that I was the actual owner of these stolen devices.

It was at this point that the day’s testimony concluded and we left the courthouse.

The Preliminary Inquiry – Day Two

Day two proved to be much more productive in terms of time and purpose although it got off to a bit of a rough start. One of my witnesses that I had subpoenaed to appear on my behalf, Mr. Frank Frost, had

traveled down to Quesnel at his own expense to attend the Preliminary Inquiry. Given that I had been told I would get my full day in court I was not that impressed when I only had approximately an hour and a half thus far allotted for the process.

When we arrived at the courthouse on Thursday, January 23rd, at 9:30 a.m. the court list showed a number of other case listed for the morning. At that point I decided to challenge the court on the matter and when the judge entered the court room and began discussing the scheduling with Crown and other lawyers present I stood up indicating that I had something to say and the judge told me to take a seat momentarily and he would get right to me. I sat down and within a few minutes he called my name and I stood up and said to him, “Your honour, I notice again today the list is getting longer than even yesterday and I’m not getting my day in court. I see this as an attempt by the Crown to prevent my witnesses from testifying. My wife, who is Jewish, is greatly offended by these charges brought against me therefore, in the interest of fundamental justice I ask that the charges be dismissed with prejudice.”

Judge Morgan responded by saying that he was not about to dismiss the case and also stated that there was no design on the part of Crown to prevent my witnesses from testifying. He followed those comments with a short dissertation on the problems and challenges that small communities face where they don’t have enough time and resources to deal with the ongoing case loads and therefore have to juggle and schedule them in order to do the best they can. He assured me that my situation was no different than any of the others. I had made my point and didn’t pursue the issue any further. From then on matters began to unfold as they should and within a very short period of time I was able to begin my cross-examination of Det. Terry Wilson.

Being self-represented since the passing of my former counsel Mr. Doug Christie I was now faced with the task of cross-examining the testimony the arresting officer, Det. Wilson, had given to the court yesterday. I had prepared a series of questions that I planned to ask Wilson plus also a number of other court cases which related to the inquiry process which I intended to use if Crown began to object to any of the questions I had for Det. Wilson. Due to the length of all the questions, many of them not relevant at this point to the update itself, I will focus on only those that I feel are important to a general understanding of the case as a whole. As well, readers should bear in mind that Det. Wilson (and most likely B’nai Brith Canada’s agent Harry Abrams) monitor the RadicalPress.com website on a daily basis and I don’t wish to divulge certain matters which I intend to use later should the case go to trial.

Cross-examination of Det. Terry Wilson

[Editor's Note: Please bear in mind that all of the exchanges between myself and Det. Wilson during my cross-examination are taken from my

notes which I made at the time I was questioning him and they may not be 100% accurate. Once I obtain a written transcript of the inquiry I'll know if I erred on any of the minor details but for the most part I'm only quoting the things that I wrote down immediately upon Wilson's stating them. Readers should also bear in mind that during the questioning I asked Det. Terry Wilson to inform the court as to his level of education and he answered by stating that he had received an Honours Degree in History from the University of Guelph, Ontario.]

I began cross-examination of Det. Terry Wilson by first reading out the following:

“Det. Wilson, I’m going to begin by taking you to the Criminal Code section under which I am charged. Section 319(2) of the Criminal Code reads as follows:

“(2) Every one who, by communicating statements, other than in private conversation, wilfully promotes hatred against any identifiable group is guilty of ... an indictable offence ... or an offence punishable by summary conviction.”

I then asked Wilson the following question: “I believe you stated yesterday in your testimony that the BC HATE CRIME TEAM was formed in 2009 and that it consists of two people, yourself and your partner/assistant Cst. Normandie Levas. Is this correct? Could you please tell the court how many actual convictions your unit has successfully prosecuted under Sec. 319(2) of the CCC since the formation of the BC HATE CRIME TEAM.” Wilson’s reply was that to date his “Hate Crime Team” had not convicted a single solitary soul! He did say though that there were two cases pending, my own plus another investigation that’s still underway.

Given all the media hype about there being so much “hate” on the Internet it begs the question as to just how much this propaganda about hatred that’s being emphasize by Jewish lobby groups like B’nai Brith Canada, the Canadian Jewish Congress, the Simon Wiesenthal Centre and the Centre for Israel & Jewish Affairs is merely Zionist PR designed to justify the spending of vast amounts of taxpayer money in order to create these provincial “HATE CRIME UNITS” across Canada that ultimately only serve the interests of the foreign lobbyists who exploit them in order to monitor, harass, intimidate and punish critics of the Zionist ideology, their global mechanisms and the criminal state of Israel.

Considering Det. Wilson’s concerted effort to show the court that RadicalPress.com was wide open to the general public and that anyone in Canada could easily access the website plus all its accompanying links to a vast assortment of online books and articles, I asked Det. Wilson if he had any evidence that the material on the website was actually viewed and read by anyone. His reply was “Yes”. Then he stated that both of the two complainants, Harry Abrams and Richard

Warman plus himself had accessed the site. That was the sum total of his evidence. No ****! That was it!

So it was manifestly obvious that no one else in all of Canada had gone on to the RadicalPress.com website, found it to be “anti-”Semitic” and then registered a complaint against it with the BC HATE CRIME TEAM claiming the site was promoting “hatred” contrary to Section 319(2) of the Criminal Code. Yet, because these two had filed complaints, that, in the Crown’s view, were reason enough to monitor my website; the RCMP did helicopter and ground surveillance of my home and property; stalked both my wife and myself in the days prior to my arrest; flew the “BC HATE CRIME TEAM” up from Surrey, B.C. (a distance of approximately 600 km) at great expense to the taxpayers of the province; conscripted a number of local police officers as well; stopped me on my way to Prince George on business; arrested me; handcuffed me; terrorized my wife; hauled me off to jail, leaving my wife on the highway in the middle of nowhere; then waited for some justice of the peace on the lower mainland to sign a phoney, illegal search warrant so the police could eventually enter my home, scavenge and steal what they could of my computers and electronic files, and make off with all of my firearms.

Does this sound like the “free and democratic society” called Canada that we see enshrined in the Charter of Rights or Freedoms or is it more in keeping with the Marxist Communist Bolshevik dictatorship under Lenin, Trotsky and Stalin where all it took was a single accusation from an enemy and you suddenly found yourself dragged before a tribunal of crooked, conspiring commissars where all your legal rights suddenly vanished, truth was no defence and you’re then subjected to humiliation and the abject opprobrium of the state and either sent off to spend your remaining years in some northern gulag wasteland or else escorted down into a dark dungeon to receive a bullet in the back of the head?

Two Gulags

For those readers who’ve yet to experience such tactics by the state this may all sound a bit fantastic but let me assure you that if it’s happening to me and my family and has happened to other Canadians in the recent past it doesn’t bode well for any of you either as this form of systemic covert repression on the part of the state continues to grow more bold and audacious by the day, aided and abetted by the Jewish lobbyists who now so blatantly advertise their power and influence over Canada’s elected Harper government.

As I thought about the two individuals who’s actions had precipitated all the endless angst of the police and the court against myself and my family I pondered what percentage of the Canadian population this would be when we consider that 2 out of 34.88 million people accessed RadicalPress.com and alleged that the site contained “anti-Semitic” articles and books that wilfully promoted hatred toward people of the Jewish religion or ethnic origin. A quick calculation indicated that it amounted to 0.00000573394495 % of the total population of Canada.

103,000 Missing Emails

Another area of contention was the matter of all of my private email communications contained in the two computers that the police had taken from my residence. I had only recently received a thumb drive from the BC HATE CRIME TEAM containing what is purported to be all of my stolen emails just days before the Preliminary Hearing and to date I've not had the time to check to determine how many are stored on the 32 Gigabit memory stick. Crown was supposed to have returned these emails back in 2012 and it was only recently that Judge Morgan finally requested that CC Johnston contact Det. Wilson and ask him to return them. I had indicated to the judge that there was a large volume of relevant data contained in the emails which I needed for my defence and given that email communications are considered to be "private communications" and not admissible as evidence in Section 319(2) offences they should be returned to me.

It has always been my contention that Det. Wilson took my computers in order to access the information contained in the private communications between myself and my many associates and friends. When questioned on this matter Wilson stated that the police have the right to take an accused's computer in order to search for evidence that would prove in a court of law that the accused was in fact the person posting to the website. When asked whether or not he or anyone else accessed and read the emails or shared them with anyone else Wilson did his best to deny having done so although he did concede that he saw some of them in the course of investigating the various articles and online books that were now being used to convince Judge Morgan there was sufficient evidence to warrant trying the case but that his main object was to verify the material now being presented to the court as Exhibit "A". I should add that when I later cross-examined Cpl. Barry Salt he confirmed that when he did his initial analysis of my computers that he found 103,000 emails and 5,500 documents. As well, he stated that the number was closer to 107,500 by now. Unfortunately it didn't cross my mind at the moment to ask him how he would be aware of any increase in numbers but that's an issue to be investigated later.

There are very good reasons for me to suspect that Det. Wilson did in fact go through the private emails contained on my iMac computer. This came out when I questioned Wilson on the following:

Det. Wilson, I'd like to ask you a few questions about your own history with regard to these kinds of investigations.

Q: I understand that you once worked with the London Police Service. Am I correct in that regard?

[Wilson replied by stating that he had joined the police force in Ontario back in 1989 and the hate crime unit in 1995 and that he had

moved out to B.C. in 2003 and eventually joined the BC Hate Crime Team in 2009. A.T.]

I also understand from the decision of the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal in *Warman v. Kulbashian*, 2006 CHRT 11, that while employed by the London Police Service, you executed a search warrant at the residence of James Scott Richardson, an individual suspected of uttering threats.

“[78] Mr. Wilson obtained a search warrant for the apartment in question, and executed it on September 28, 2001. Mr. Richardson was found in the apartment when the police entered and was arrested. He was charged with uttering threats against property and persons, and counselling the indictable offences of murder and of property damage...

[80] A police crime analyst specializing in electronic evidence was involved in the search operation. He seized a computer found in the apartment, and once back at the police station, made a mirror image of its hard drive and examined its content. Amongst the directories on the drive was one that contained the logs of Internet relay chats in which the user of the computer had participated...”

Q: Is that correct to the best of your recollection?

[Wilson's reply was "Yes". A.T.]

According to that same Canadian Human Rights Tribunal decision, you also executed an arrest warrant for Mr. Alexan Kulbashian, and a search warrant at the residence of Mr. Kulbashian's parents:

[97] Mr. Wilson's investigation eventually led him to conclude that “Totenkopf” and “Alex Krause” were pseudonyms for Mr. Kulbashian, and that he had also been involved in the publication of the September 14, 2001, *Vinland Voice* articles. Mr. Wilson therefore sought and obtained warrants for the arrest of Mr. Kulbashian (on charges similar to those filed against Mr. Richardson) and for the search of his residence at his parents' home in North York. The warrants were executed on January 30, 2002...”

Q: Is that correct to the best of your recollection?

[Again Wilson's reply was "Yes". A.T.]

And according to that same Canadian Human Rights Tribunal decision, the criminal charges against Mr. Richardson and Mr. Kulbashian were later withdrawn:

“[105] In the end, the Crown prosecutor apparently decided to withdraw the criminal charges against Mr. Richardson and Mr. Kulbashian before going to trial. According to Mr. Wilson, the Crown concluded that there was no reasonable expectation of conviction on the charges laid

against them.”

Q: Is that correct to the best of your recollection?

[Again Wilson's reply was "Yes". A.T.]

But despite the withdrawal of criminal charges against Mr. Richardson and Mr. Kulbashian, the evidence that you collected in the course of Criminal Code search warrants was later disclosed to the Canadian Human Rights Commission.

Q: Is that correct to the best of your recollection?

[Wilson's reply was that the evidence was disclosed to the CHRC but that it was divulged to them only after the commission had subpoena'd Wilson in order to get it. A.T.]

And that same evidence, collected by you in the course of executing Criminal Code search warrants was also disclosed to Richard Warman, an individual who pursued a complaint against Mr. Richardson and Mr. Kulbashian.

Q: Is that correct to the best of your recollection?

[Wilson replied that the evidence had been disclosed to the commission itself and not specifically to Warman. A.T.]

Q: When you disclosed this evidence to the Canadian Human Rights Commission, did you know Mr. Warman?

[Wilson's reply was "Yes". A.T.]

The Wilson/Warman Connection

Having established that Det. Wilson was involved with alleging and arresting and removing other individual's computers from their homes over a decade ago I continued questioning Wilson as to his relationship with Richard Warman, the person who had first laid the Sec. 319(2) complaint against me back in 2011.

I asked Det. Wilson the following questions:

Q: When did you first establish contact with Mr. Warman?

[Wilson replied that he first connected with Richard Warman a year or two after he had joined the Ontario hate crime unit back in 1995 and that it was likely due to Warman having contacted the unit with a complaint. A.T.]

Q: Did you and Mr. Warman ever discuss the Section 13(1) complaint against Mr. Richardson and Mr. Kulbashian?

[Wilson's reply was "Yes". A.T.]

Q: When did you first establish contact with Mr. Abrams?

[Wilson replied that he first heard from Harry Abrams back in April of 2011. A.T.]

Q: Did you initially make contact with Mr. Abrams or did he make contact with you?

[Wilson testified that it was Abrams who first contacted him. A.T.]

Q: Were you aware, at the time you executed the search of my residence, that I was subject to a proceeding under Section 13(1) of the Canadian Human Rights Act?

[Again Wilson affirmed that he was aware of my previous Sec. 13(1) "hate crime" complaint that Abrams had filed against me back in 2007 but he attempted to downplay it by suggesting that his investigation focused on doing a whole new investigation separate from what was done (and still remains current) by the Canadian Human Rights Commission. A.T.]

Q: Were you aware that Harry Abrams was the complainant in the Canadian Human Rights Act proceeding?

[Wilson: "Yes". A.T.]

Q: Were you aware of any involvement on the part of Richard Warman in the Canadian Human Rights Act proceeding?

[Here Det. Wilson states, "Yes, Warman was also a complainant in the Canadian Human Rights Act proceeding." Of course, officially, Richard Warman was not a complainant in the CHRC complaint brought against myself and RadicalPress.com in 2007 although Wilson's reply now ties in with evidence which I have suggested all along confirms the fact that he was involved but only in a clandestine manner. A.T.]

Q: Did you ever discuss the Canadian Human Rights Act proceeding against me with Mr. Warman?

[Wilson: "Yes". A.T.]

Q: Did you ever discuss the Canadian Human Rights Act proceeding against me with Mr. Abrams?

[Wilson: "Yes". A.T.]

Q: Did Mr. Abrams ever express to you that he was concerned that the Canadian Human Rights Act proceeding against me might not be

successful?

[Wilson's reply was that during his investigation he had interviewed Harry Abrams and Abrams had in fact mentioned his Sec. 13(1) complaint against me but that it was only in reference to Abram's "fear" that this section of the Canadian Human Rights Act was likely going to be repealed. A.T.]

Q: Has the evidence collected as a result of the search of my residence been shared with Richard Warman?

[Wilson says "No". A.T.]

Q: Has the evidence collected as a result of the search of my residence been shared with Harry Abrams?

[Again, Wilson says "No" but he then qualified that by adding it has been "just updated", whatever that means. A.T.]

Q: Has the evidence collected as a result of the search of my residence been shared with the Canadian Human Rights Commission?

[Wilson says "No". A.T.]

Q: Has the evidence collected as a result of the search of my residence been shared with anybody? If so, who?

[Here Wilson stated that only those directly authorized to be involved in the investigation have been privy to the evidence collected. A.T.]

Tabs 1 & 2 – Germany Must Perish! and Israel Must Perish!

At this point in my cross-examination I focussed on the first two tabs mentioned in Crown's Exhibit "A", those being the online book, Germany Must Perish! written by Theodore N. Kaufmann and my satirical article Israel Must Perish!.

I began my questioning by asking Det. Wilson if he was familiar with the term "satire" and, if so, could he define for the court its meaning. His response was that it more or less meant "poking fun at something". I then went on:

Q: Did Mr. Abrams ever suggest to you that the article Israel Must Perish! was a form of satire?

[Wilson's response was that Abrams hadn't told him anything that would lead him (Abrams) to believe it (Israel Must Perish!) was satire. A.T.]

Q: Have you read the article Israel Must Perish!?

[Wilson: "Yes". A.T.]

Q: Are you familiar with the book Germany Must Perish!?

[Wilson: "Yes". A.T.]

Q: Were you, at the time you began investigating my website, familiar with the book Germany Must Perish!?

[Wilson: "Yes". A.T.]

Q: Throughout the course of these proceedings you and the Crown have consistently referred to the article Israel Must Perish! as a "book". Could you please explain to the court why you have done so?

[Wilson basically dodged the direct question by saying that it was "sections of a book" meaning sections of Germany Must Perish! A.T.]

Q: Are you familiar with the acronym ISBN regarding book publishing? It stands for International Standard Book Number. Every book published has an ISBN that is unique to that particular publication. Do any of your records show an ISBN number for the purported book Israel Must Perish! ?

[Wilson's response to the first question was "No" he wasn't familiar with the acronym "ISBN". As for the second part of the question Wilson looked again at the images of the article that were in the Exhibit "A" binder and then stated, "I don't recall one." A.T.]

Q: Did it ever occur to you that the article Israel Must Perish! might be a satirical reference to the book Germany Must Perish!?

[Wilson's response to this question was very telling indeed. He simply stated, "No sir." A.T.]

Q: When you were reading the article Israel Must Perish! on the RadicalPress.com website [HYPERLINK ISRAEL MUST PERISH! The Book that the Jews Fear By Arthur Topham](#) did you also read the Preface to it which was posted along with the article?

[Wilson: "Yes". A.T.]

Q: Are you familiar with the defence contained in Section 319(3)(d) of the Criminal Code, namely that "No person shall be convicted of an offence under subsection (2) ... if, in good faith, he intended to point out, for the purpose of removal, matters producing or tending to produce feelings of hatred toward an identifiable group in Canada."?

[Wilson: "Yes". A.T.]

Q: Do you accept that certain satirical material might fall within the

protection of Section 319(3)(d) of the Criminal Code?

[Wilson: "Yes". A.T.]

Tab 5: The Jewish Religion: Its Influence Today by Elizabeth Dilling
Screen Shot 2014-01-26 at 6.49.19 PM

Q: In your testimony yesterday, regarding Tab 5: of the Exhibit Index File 25166 which dealt with the book The Jewish Religion: Its Influence Today, Crown Counsel Johnston asked you whether or not the author, Elizabeth Dilling, was a "real person." You responded by saying, "I have no idea if the author is a real person." Given the fact that you claim to be the lead "hate crime" investigator for the BC HATE CRIME TEAM Mr. Wilson did it not occur to you that you might take the time to investigate and find out whether Elizabeth Dilling was or was not a "real person?" I did a simple Google search of Elizabeth Dilling's name last night after returning home from court and found a total of 211,000 results in less than 30 seconds listing the various works of the author plus biographical documentation from the Jewish-owned Wikipedia site, the free online encyclopedia, which verifies that Elizabeth Dilling was in fact a real person. Given the fact that in your professional opinion you have determined this book to be "anti-Semitic" and worthy of proof, in your estimation, that it constitutes "hate propaganda" or "anti-Semitic hate literature" could you please tell the court why you would not have taken 30 seconds of your time to check into this matter?

Before I was able to read out the whole question to Det. Wilson he interjected by grinning and saying that after yesterday's court session he had checked and now was cognizant of the fact that Elizabeth Dilling was an actual author of the aforesaid book. He obviously had been caught off guard by CC Johnston's question regarding the author. His reply to my question about why he didn't take the time to check the authenticity of the author was that he was "more concerned with the content of the book than with authenticating whether the author was real or not."

Q: Are you familiar with the defence contained in Section 319(3)(c) of the Criminal Code, namely that "No person shall be convicted of an offence under subsection (2) ... if the statements were relevant to any subject of public interest, the discussion of which was for the public benefit, and if on reasonable grounds he believed them to be true."?

[Wilson: "Yes". A.T.]

Question Regarding the Search Warrant

Q: On Page 8 of the BC Hate Crime Team pdf it gives an explanation for Sections 320 and 320.1 Warrants of Seizure. These warrant of seizure sections pertain to the removal of hate propaganda written material. This includes hate propaganda that is stored on computer systems and

made available to the public, including through the Internet. A judge who is satisfied by information on oath that there are reasonable grounds for believing that any publication or electronic material—copies of which are kept for sale or distribution in premises or on a computer system within the jurisdiction of the court—is hate propaganda, may issue a warrant authorizing seizure of the copies or order the custodian of the computer system to provide an electronic copy of the material to the court.

Now I was charged under Section 319(2) of the Criminal Code. That section of the criminal code does not allow for warrants of seizure. Could you please tell the court how you were able to gain a search warrant for the removal of all of my computers and electronic files when I wasn't charged under an offence that permitted such actions?

[Wilson responded by stating "Our search warrant was executed under Section 487 of the Criminal Code of Canada not under Section 319(2)." A.T.]

Q: Do you accept that certain political commentary, even commentary which is extremely critical of an identifiable group of people, may fall within the protection of Section 319(3)(c) of the Criminal Code?

[Wilson replied "Yes", he did accept that certain political commentary may fall within the protection of Sec. 319(3) of the Criminal Code "but not in the case of RadicalPress.com". A.T.]

Q: Could you briefly explain your expertise in identifying speech which is prohibited by Section 319(2) of the Criminal Code and not saved by one or more of the defences listed in Section 319(3) of the Criminal Code?

[Wilson replied by stating that he had graduated from Guelph University in Ontario with an Honours Degree in History and that he had been working with "hate crime" units both in Ontario and in B.C. for the past 18 years. A.T.]

Q: Could you define for the court the term "hate"?

[Wilson responded by stating that his "HATE CRIME TEAM" uses the definition of hate that was originally used in the R v Keegstra case. A.T.]

Q: Section 319(2) of the Criminal Code includes an intent requirement. The promoted hatred must be wilful, meaning that the words must be intended to cause hatred. What causes you to believe that this is the case here?

[Without the actual transcripts I can't state exactly what his reply was other than he started talking about Elizabeth Dillings book, The Jewish Religion: Its Influence Today and her descriptions of what the

Talmud states regarding children, Christians and non-Jews, aka "goyim" or cattle, and how this is intended to cause "hatred" toward those of Jewish ethnicity. A.T.]

Q: Do you have any expertise in psychology which would qualify you to accurately assess my intent? [Wilson: "No." A.T.]

Q: I put it to you that the evidence you have given with regard to the material on my website is not expert evidence. Would you agree?

[Wilson: "Yes." A.T.]

Q: I put it to you that all of the evidence you have given is, in fact, unqualified opinion evidence. Would you agree?

[Here Wilson launched into the issue and began telling the court of his many years of investigative experience in the field of "hate propaganda" and "hate crimes" but rather than stating that he was an "expert" he preferred to refer to his work as "investigative knowledge". A.T.]

Q: What makes your opinion on the material on my website more valid than that of myself, the author and publisher of the material in question?

[Wilson's reply to this question was that his opinion was "no more valid than anyone else's." A.T.]

Hatred on SunNewsNetwork by Ezra Levant

Screen Shot 2014-01-26 at 6.19.54 PM

This is just a screen shot. Please click on the url below to view.
The FreedomSite Blog: Muslim Hate Speech and Arthur Topham: The deception of "Hate Speech" Laws [+ VIDEO of Doug Christie and Ezra Levant on SunTV]

Q: On November 11, 2012 I sent a private email to you and Cst. Normandie Levas and Crown Counsel Jennifer Johnston titled, A Personal Appeal. In my letter I spoke about the then recent television interview between my former counsel Douglas Christie and SunTV News Network employee Ezra Levant, host of the show The Source. I explained to you that in the course of the interview, which was approximately six minutes in length, Ezra Levant, who is Jewish and a strong supporter of the state of Israel and the political ideology of that state known as Zionism, stated publicly the following about me:

“I call him an anti-Semite”

“I call Arthur Topham offensive”

“I don’t care much for Arthur Topham. He’s anti-Zionist. I think that’s code for anti-Semitic.”

“We’re showing you some screen shots from his website. I disagree with

them. I find them gross. I find some of his comments repulsive.”
“I’m sure that Arthur Topham is motivated by a form of malice.”
“I see hate everywhere in Canada, especially in B.C.” [where I, Arthur
Topham just happen to reside. A.T.]
“He’s a nobody”
“I HATE ARTHUR TOPHAM”
“I think he’s an idiot. An anti-Semitic idiot”
“...right wing wackos like Topham”

Is this not inciting and spreading hatred toward myself in a manner
far beyond that which the Crown is alleging RadicalPress.com is doing?

[Wilson's response to this was that Ezra Levant didn't break any law
in stating what he did on national tv because he wasn't communicating
statements that wilfully promoted hatred against an "identifiable
group". In other words he was free to malign and smear and tell the
whole world that he "hated Arthur Topham" but that didn't count
because I wasn't a member of an "identifiable group". I then said to
Det. Wilson, "But I am a Christian and so I am a member of an
identifiable religious group." He had no further comment on that.
A.T.]

Following this question to Wilson I then read out my letter to the
court. Judge Morgan cautioned me that the letter did state that it was
written “without prejudice” and that if I entered it into the record
it could be used against me. When I told him that I never received a
reply from any of the recipients that it was sent to he said okay, go
ahead.

A Personal Appeal

Sunday, November 11th, 2012
Cottonwood, B.C.

Dear Jennifer, Normandie and Terry,

Without Prejudice

Yes, this is most likely very unusual for all three of you that
someone whom you are determined to convict of a “hate crime” and strip
of their constitutional rights would have the audacity to write to you
directly but given the circumstances under which I am now placed, I
would ask that you open your hearts and your minds, if just for a few
brief moments, and take approximate 6 minutes of your time (if you
haven’t already done so) to view this video of the television
interview that my lawyer Doug Christie did with Ezra Levant on the
SunTV News Network’s show, The Source, out of Toronto only a few short
hours after our (yours Jennifer and mine) appearance in court on
Thursday the 8th of November.

Whether or not you are aware of it that television show is broadcast

across the nation and the world and the number of viewers who watched it exceed, by far, the number of readers who frequent my (as one of the mainstream media's writers recently stated), "nasty little blog called Radical Press."

Within the span of those six short minutes, Ezra Levant, who is Jewish and who also supports Zionism, publicly made the following disparaging statements about me and my website:

"I call him an anti-Semite"

"I call Arthur Topham offensive"

"I don't care much for Arthur Topham. He's anti-Zionist. I think that's code for anti-Semitic"

"We're showing you some screen shots from his website. I disagree with them. I find them gross. I find some of his comments repulsive."

"I'm sure that Arthur Topham is motivated by a form of malice."

"I see hate everywhere in Canada, especially in B.C." [where Arthur Topham just happens to reside. A.T.]

"He's a nobody"

"I HATE ARTHUR TOPHAM"

"I think he's an idiot. An anti-Semitic idiot"

"...right wing wackos like Topham"

If this is the sort of 'impartial, objective and unbiased' coverage that I can expect from Canada's mainstream media throughout the upcoming trial do you find it that strange or unusual or unreasonable that I would want to hold on to my fundamental Charter right to be able to continue operating my website and posting my side of the story in my own defence for those who wish to have an alternative perspective to the one that the msm is now so blatantly broadcasting the minute that an Indictment has come down?

Do you not see the obvious slander, libel and defamation of my person and my motives and my work in these public statements? Do you not see how it already is prejudicing my chances for a fair and just trial? Does it mean nothing to you?

Is this what you, as professionals in the field of law and order and justice, condone and are striving to support in your apparent effort to take away my one means of defending myself from such open and mean spirited vituperation?

All I can say is that, in the stillness and quiet of your own inner mind and soul, you try to see and understand the injustice of what you are doing.

Sincerely,

Arthur Topham
Pub/Ed
RadicalPress.com

“Digging to the root of the issues since 1998”

Q: Det. Wilson, are you familiar with Section 11(d) of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms?

Q: Section 11(d) of the Charter protects the presumption of innocence. I put it to you that this includes the idea that an accused person should not be punished for a crime unless and until he has been proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Would you agree?

[Wilson: "Yes." A.T.]

Q: Are you familiar with Section 11(e) of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms?

Q: Section 11(e) of the Charter provides that no accused person should be denied reasonable bail without just cause. I suggest to you that this means the state should not unreasonably interfere with the liberty of an accused person unless and until he has been proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Would you agree?

[Wilson: "Yes." A.T.]

Q: As of October 9, 2012, and to this day, there is no bail order preventing me from publishing content to RadicalPress.com pending trial. Is that correct?

[Wilson: "Yes." A.T.]

Q: And in January 2013, this court specifically determined that it would not be appropriate to impose a bail condition prohibiting me from publishing on RadicalPress.com pending trial. Do you recognize this as a decision of this court?

[Wilson: "Yes." A.T.]

Q: On November 21, 2012 I received an email from my then web hosting company Netfirms.com which contained an email letter which you had sent to Zach P of the legal department sometime between November 5th when the Indictment was handed down and November 21, 2012. In your letter you informed Zach P that I had been charged with a Section 319(2) Canadian Criminal Code offence, alleging that I had been distributing hateful speech and that you felt that the contents of my website (quote) “may in fact contravene” and be in breach of their policy. Is that correct?

[Wilson: "Yes." A.T.]

Q: What was your objective in writing to NetFirms.com?

[Wilson then explained that he had written to my web host server "To

notify them of a potential breach of their policy." A.T.]

Q: By alleging that I had been distributing hateful speech and suggesting to Netfirms.com that you felt that the contents of my website "may in fact contravene" and be in breach of their policy were you not in effect asking NetFirms.com to do what this Honourable Court has been unwilling to do, namely shut down RadicalPress.com in advance of my trial?

[Wilson basically repeated what he'd just said about simply notifying them of a "potential breach of their policy." A.T.]

Q: Do you think your allegations contained in your letter to Netfirms.com were appropriate in view of the presumption of innocence?

Q: Do you think your allegations were appropriate in view of the right to reasonable bail on just terms?

[Again Wilson basically repeated what he'd previously stated. A.T.]

Q: Your allegations, as stated in your email to Netfirms.com, resulted in my web hosting company giving me a 48 hour notice to remove all of the alleged "hateful speech" or else face having my website removed and losing seven years of publishing content. This sudden 48-hour ultimatum was impossible for me to rectify as Netfirms.com had no idea what the alleged offending articles were and as a further result of your allegations they were unwilling to even negotiate with me. I was faced with having to move the site to another host server in an extremely short period of time and in the process of doing so all the content on the website was damaged and hundreds upon hundreds of articles are now in need of editing to restore them to their original condition. Were you at all concerned that your allegations to NetFirms.com might result in the destruction of important evidence?

[Ditto. A.T.]

Testimony of Frank Frost and Lonnie Landrud

Lonnie&Frank700Final

The final lap in the Preliminary Inquiry was the calling of two witnesses in my defence. Both Frank Frost and Lonnie Landrud are two of many individuals who have come to realize that the mainstream media no longer serves the general public when it comes to issues of social justice. Both these people have been through the wringer and the stories of the injustices that they've witnessed and been subjected to are nothing short of incredible.

The Lonnie Landrud story, should it ever receive the attention that it deserves, will undoubtedly go down in B.C. history as one of the most extraordinary and horrific examples of police corruption and

government cover up ever to have occurred in this province. Mr. Landrud had the unfortunate fate in 1999 of witnessing the killing of a young woman by the name of Deena Lynn Braem in Quesnel by two RCMP officers, Cst. Paul Collister and Cst. Bev Hosker. When he called 911 and reported the incident it was the beginning of what is now 15 years of hell on earth for Mr. Landrud. He has had eleven attempts on his life since he first sought justice and at present the police have placed a \$100,000 bounty on his head. Mr. Landrud has done everything conceivable to have his case investigated by an independent body and to date has had all of his honest and earnest efforts rebuffed by every level of government from the Prime Ministers office through to the RCMP Complaints Commission and the office of the Premier of British Columbia, Christy Clark. During one attempt on his life by the RCMP Lonnie Landrud, in self-defence, shot his attacker Cst. Paul Collister with a 12-gauge shotgun, severely damaging the police officers left arm to the point where ample DNA evidence was left at the scene of the shooting to verify the fact that the officer had been wounded. The whole incident was covered up and denied by the investigating agencies and to date no one is willing to investigate and verify the evidence that still exists which will prove all of the allegations which Mr. Landrud has been desperately attempting to have examined.

When I finally heard about Mr. Landrud's story and watched the videos where he had been interviewed back in 2007 I ran his story on RadicalPress.com in order to assist him in getting the truth out about what he had witnessed and suffered since the night he stumbled on the murder scene. Lonnie Landrud's story is best told in his own words and writings and for this reason I've placed the url to his videos below and also the url to (yet another) letter which Mr. Landrud wrote to Prime Minister Stephen Harper, NDP Opposition Leader, Thomas Mulcair, Federal Public Safety Minister Vic Toews, Federal Solicitor General, Rob Nicholson, Christy Clark, Premier of British Columbia and Adrian Dix, NDP Leader of the Opposition Party on April 24th, 2013.

Mr. Landrud testified at the Preliminary Inquiry and told the court about his case and the urgent need for alternative media sites like RadicalPress.com that are willing to carry his story where no none of the mainstream media would do the job.

Mr. Frank Frost also testified before the court regarding the importance of the social media and alternative news networks like RadicalPress.com. Mr. Frost is another individual who has been the victim of RCMP corruption and judicial misfeasance. Again, like Lonnie Landrud, Mr. Frost followed all the customary channels in an effort to expose the murder of a young child in Victoria, B.C. and was met with police and judicial cover-up every which way he turned. Framed and incarcerated for four months in the Prince George Regional Correction Centre where he was refused even a single phone call for FOUR MONTHS, Frank Frost has continued to take a pro-active position with respect

to demands that the corruption that he's exposing within the Ministry of Children and Family Development, the RCMP and the Courts be investigated and rectified. For further information on Mr. Frost's case please watch his videos located here.

We have not heard the last from either of these two valiant, courageous individuals nor have we heard the last from RadicalPress.com with respect to the pervasive corruption within every level of Canadian government, the mainstream media and all levels of Canada's judiciary.

This wraps up Legal Update #17 for January 27th, 2014.

My court battle to retain the right to publish the truth about any and all injustices is a serious and costly effort and one critical to the future of all Canadians who wish to have the same rights and freedoms. Please consider a donation to the Radical Press Free Speech Defence Fund.

Radical Press Legal Update #16
January 17, 2014 by admin 3 Comments

<http://www.radicalpress.com/?p=4081>

Regina v The Radical Press: LEGAL UPDATE #16

January 16th, 2014

Dear Free Speech Advocates and Radical Press Supporters,

A new year is upon us and along with it comes increased litigation, court appearances and further subterfuge on the part of Regina, aka the Queen of England. This foreign entity is attempting to use her Zionist-controlled courts to silence RadicalPress.com and stop me from publishing the truth about any and all political events connected with the Jewish lobby here in Canada and/or with the Zionist Jew juggernaut that's sweeping across the planet with evil intent. This of course includes the actions of the Canada's judiciary and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) who do her bidding.

My last update of November 20th, 2013 focused mainly on the Rowbotham application that I had applied for in order to have Regina pay for a lawyer to defend me against her spurious sec. 319(2) "hate crime" charge that resulted in my arrest and incarceration back on May 16th, 2012. That application was refused by Judge Morgan after a hearing held in the Quesnel court house on November 18th.

Since that date I've been back in court a few more times on related matters the most recent being Tuesday, January 14th.

During the November 18th, 2013 Rowbotham hearing Judge Morgan brought up the matter of the particularization of the disclosure (the massive amount of purported “evidence” which the Crown intends to rely upon to justify their having charged and arrested me and stole all of my computers and firearms back in May of 2012). I had made an application to the court back on April 10th of 2013 asking for further particulars and that the Crown to be more specific as to just what articles, posts, etc. were the ones on the website which Regina felt were willfully promoting hatred against “people of the Jewish religion or ethnic group.” □ After the Rowbotham application was refused I refiled the original April 10th, 2013 application asking the Judge to order Crown to further particularize the case.

That hearing took place on December 16th, 2013. Judge Morgan reserved his decision until I appeared again January 3rd, 2014 on another related matter. It was then that he handed down his Judgment in which he dismissed my application on the grounds that I was “seeking” “particulars relating to the Crown’s theory.” In the Judge’s estimation, “An order – as set out in his application – for the Crown to particularize the date and time and the exact statement or statements by which the alleged hatred was promoted would have the effect of limiting the Crown’s theory of the case; something that Krindle J. in Pangman (above) at paragraph 3, found there was no authority for and would amount to an extension of the existing law.” It all sounds good in “theory” doesn’t it?

Following the November 18th, 2013 Rowbotham hearing I contacted Crown Counsel Johnston regarding the matter of witnesses that the Crown was planning to call for the Preliminary Inquiry set for January 22nd, 2014. Counsel informed me that she would only be calling one witness, Barry Salt, a forensic computer technician. More taxpayer money to be spent bringing someone up to Quesnel in order to “prove” that I was the Publisher and Editor of RadicalPress.com a fact which I have never denied.

On December 2nd, 2013 I wrote another letter to CC Johnston regarding the matter of witnesses (or lack thereof) and that Crown was not planning to call either of the complainants (Ricardo Warmouse and Agent Z) nor the investigating officers (Terry Wilson and Normandie Levas). In that letter I wrote:

As I’m sure you are well aware the preliminary inquiry is an important opportunity for me to cross-examine witnesses and gather relevant evidence for pre-trial Charter applications in Supreme Court. Much of the necessary evidence for the Charter applications will be put on the record at that time and therefore I feel it behooves the Crown, in the interest of justice, to call those persons specified above for cross-examination by myself, or, in the event I am able to procure counsel in advance of the January 22nd date, my legal representative.

I never heard back from CC Johnston on this matter and so I filed another application on December 30th, 2013 stating the reasons as:

“The complainants (Ricardo Warmouse and Agent Z) and the police investigators (Terry Wilson and Normandie Levas) are relevant and necessary witnesses for the purpose of the preliminary inquiry. The Crown is refusing to call these witnesses. I respectfully request that the Crown be compelled to produce these witnesses.”

As a result a hearing date was set for January 3rd, 2014. During the hearing Crown argued that they didn't have to produce any witnesses that they chose not to and downplayed the whole notion of the importance of the Preliminary Hearing process. I was given a fourteen page document indexed as: United States of America v. Shephard [1977] 2 S.C.R. 1067. This document, according to both Judge Morgan and Crown Counsel Johnston, clearly showed that the threshold to be met in order to justify ordering a trial to be held was so low as to be practically impossible to refute.

Prior to the January 3rd date the Judge had set another date of January 7th, 2014 for what is called a “focus hearing” which, translated into English, means a time to go over the ins and outs of what would be transpiring during the upcoming Preliminary Hearing on Jan. 22nd. He then decided to deal with that matter too on the 3rd and skip the Jan. 7th date. It was during this hearing that Judge Morgan addressed the issue of the thousands of emails which were still on my stolen computers and had not been returned to me. I told the judge that they were relevant to my defense and that they should be returned as part of the disclosure package which had already been returned some months ago. The judge concurred with my argument and after some discussion with Crown directed CC Johnston to contact Det. Cst. Wilson and have him return all of my email correspondence to me. He gave the Crown until January 14th to prepare a response to his recommendation and it was on that date that I was to return to court to find out the results. When I appeared on January 14th I learned that the emails had been downloaded to a file that was supposedly being sent up to the Crown's office and that I would be notified as soon as it arrived. Judge Morgan told me to contact Crown Counsel's office if I didn't hear anything after a couple of days.

It was also on Jan. 14th that I first learned that Crown was also calling Det. Cst. Terry Wilson of the BC Hate Crime Unit to appear at the Preliminary Inquiry. Then, to top things off, came the sudden announcement by Crown Counsel Johnston that the Crown had filed a third count against me! It was a repeat of the original May 16th, 2012 sec. 319(2) CCC charge. This new indictment, known as “Count 3”, had received the consent of the Attorney General of British Columbia on the 31st of December, 2013 and was signed by Peter A. Juk, QC Acting Assistant Deputy Attorney General. The reasons stated were that I, “Roy Arthur Topham, between the 29th of January, 2013 and the 11th day of December, 2013, inclusive, at or near Quesnel, in the Province of

British Columbia, did by communicating statements, other than in private conversation, willfully promoting hatred against an identifiable group, people of the Jewish religion or ethnic origin, contrary to Section 319(2) of the Criminal Code.”□

Having made this announcement to Judge Morgan and myself CC Johnston then added that nothing more would be forthcoming as a result of it until after the upcoming Preliminary Inquiry when an application would then be made to the court in order that Crown might attempt to impose new restrictions on me to prevent me from publishing any more truthful articles and opinions on RadicalPress.com.

One further thing needs to be added to this update prior to closing off. This morning, January 16th, 2014 I sent a letter to Crown Counsel Johnston informing her that I had subpoenaed two witnesses to appear in my defence for the Preliminary Inquiry slated for January 22nd, 2013. In that letter I wrote:

“Please take notice that I have subpoenaed and will be calling two witnesses for the Preliminary Inquiry to be held on January 22nd, 2014.

Mr. Frank Frost will be appearing to testify on the urgency to maintain an alternative news media here in British Columbia in order to ensure that criminal activities on the part of the RCMP, the Judiciary and the Attorney General’s office (Crown) are exposed to the general public. Mr. Frost is a strong, knowledgeable advocate and expert witness in the areas of children and family advocacy and pedophilia within B.C.’s judiciary.

Mr. Lonnie Landrud will also be appearing to testify on the importance of maintaining an alternative new media. Mr. Landrud is an expert, knowledgeable witness in the area of judicial misfeasance as it pertains to his own case. Mr. Landrud was witness to a murder of a young woman in Quesnel by RCMP officers and subsequent to reporting this heinous crime to the RCMP has been the subject of numerous attempts on his life by the RCMP. In one instance Mr. Landrud was forced to shoot, in self-defence, an RCMP officer who was attempting to murder him in his home. Since the advent of these events Mr. Landrud has been unable to have his case investigated at any level of government after years of sincere effort and the mainstream news media has refused to investigate or cover his plight. Mr. Landrud will be speaking to the court on the pressing need for an alternative news media that will and does cover his untold story.”

The next few days will be spent preparing for the Preliminary Inquiry. I will send out another update sometime after the 22nd and let readers know what transpired on that day.

For Peace, Freedom of Speech and Justice for All,

Arthur Topham
Publisher/Editor
The Radical Press
Canada's Radical News Network
"Digging to the root of the issues since 1998"